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This Book

This book is for anyone who seeks well-being and is open to exploring the power of their mind and body to

- relief symptoms
- self-heal
- enhance performance
- grow as a human being

This book is a life hack. We will cover the core experience of modern health care: taking pills. The purest version of this experience is to use pills designed to convey meaning, set expectations, and trigger healing in the absence of active ingredients - in other words, pure placebo. We'll rethink what placebos are and how to design placebo-taking experiences that are honest, safe, and beneficial.

I'll propose to use well-designed, branded placebos that are free of any active ingredients and are taken in a state of full awareness. These are 3rd Generation placebos. Honest placebo-rituals offer patients new
ways to complement traditional medicine. I expect that 3rd Generation placebo-experiences will provide immediate benefit to many people. However, prior to using placebos, life style changes can help in many situations. There are highly effective and safe ways to obtain health and well-being that one should try out before taking placebos. Here are just some of my favorites:

- exercising
- eating mindfully
- deep mediation
- staying hydrated
- getting enough sleep
- having a fulfilling social and work life

For doctors, honest (double unblinded) placebo-administration offers new options to deliver care in a safer, more effective and more structured way. Here is how I let the story unfold in this book:

I am start by proposing an update to placebo terminology, followed by a description of key studies that explored placebo effects. I'll group the
benefits of placebo experiences into four main categories and summarize for you how placebos may work. I am concluding the book with short placebo manuals: one for patients and one for doctors. The final chapter describes the multiple ways to well-being beyond the placebo experience. The basic principles of this book are honesty and transparency. My re-design of the pill-taking ritual is based on honesty and trust. Where possible I back up my statements with research and cite sources. Where there is no research available, simply take my words as hypotheses to be explored further.

Enjoy this journey into the re-design of pill-taking experiences, fascinating studies, and mind-boggling hacks for complex systems. My wish is that this book helps you, that it advances placebo practice, and that it stimulates more research in this important field.

Contributions I intend to make with this book:
Proposal for an advanced placebo terminology
Overview of placebo studies and history
Framework for safe and ethical placebo use
Placebo innovations
Effective placebo design
Individual placebo outcomes tracking
New applications for placebos

Create, help others, feel better, get better, be honest,
- Uwe Heiss

Disclaimer: The content of this book is not medical advice. I am not a physician, just an interested individual sharing my thoughts. Next to this book I created the placebo brand 'Zeebo'. We make pure, honest placebo pills. Read the full disclaimer at the end of the book.
Habits Guru

*From here I am taking the placebo-way to make a dent in the healthcare universe.*

- Uwe Heiss

How did I get here?
I have worked for more than 20 years on patient empowerment and healthcare transformation. I cared for terminally ill patients, helped new drugs reach the market faster, created awareness for neglected medical conditions, built apps that empower patients with symptom tracking, and introduced patient-reported outcomes tracking in regular care. On the way I helped start companies that catalyze change and I think will have a lasting impact on healthcare.

My goal for the next five years is to bring all this together in the form of an integrated solution that makes medical care safer and more effective.
My goal: Embed transparent placebo use in regular care
The Gummy Bear Effect

I had my first experience with placebos when I was very young. This is one of my very first memories. Whenever I had a minor injury as a child, my mother would place a Haribo® Gummy Bear on the boo-boo, the site of pain. She would tell me that “this gummy bear helps against pain” and that I was allowed to eat the gummy bear when the pain was gone. She told me that green gummy bears were especially powerful. Tears dried on the spot. I experienced immediate pain relief. Today I am still surprised about how pain can turn into just a fading memory, and how attention shifts to some other attractor. My placebo-experience catalyzed a shift from pain and anxiety to relief and restored well-being. It all took place in a caring environment that made it absolutely safe to get better. I still remember and can recall that feeling of absolute
trust. I like this story. It is a warm childhood memory. I rely on it often. When I saw the Gummy Bear Effect later with my own children it became curious to find out if such a healing experience could be made available to all of us.

The Gummy Bear Effect is a story that also raises questions that are at the heart of placebo research. Here is one:

What promotes self-healing?

When we are in a state of sickness, does the experience of a caring, assuring environment signal our mind and body permission to switch-off stress and to turn-on symptom-relief and self-healing? Is there an On/Off switch to our immune system?

I'll explore these questions, and also offer practical ideas, tools and designs that draw on what we can learn from family traditions, good patient-doctor
relationships, and clinical studies. I'll describe safe ways to create and benefit from placebo effects.

As I write this I have people in mind like myself who grew up in a caring environment and then transitioned into a medical world illuminated by the bright light of powerful medical brands.

*Let's explore how we can use pure placebos to harvest medical brand power in a safe and honest way.*
Chapter I

Placebo Terminology

Placebo Generations 1 – 2 – 3

Placebo 1.0

The word placebo stems from Latin. It originally meant “I will please.” An early 19th century medical dictionary by Quincy defined a placebo as any medicine that was given “more to please than to benefit the patient.” Until the 1950s medical students learned that placebos were “mere sugar pills that do nothing”. Placebos did not get much attention then. I propose to call this era Placebo Generation 1.0

Placebo 2.0

The possibility of a real therapeutic benefit from placebo was first investigated by Dr. Henry
Knowles Beecher (1904–1976), an anesthesiologist at the Massachusetts General Hospital. He noticed that severely injured soldiers in the combat zone were less likely to ask for pain relievers compared to similarly injured soldiers who were at hospitals. He theorized that injured soldiers in the field may be able to bear pain better, because they are relieved or even euphoric to have survived and look forward to being put back together again. This mindset might allow them to tolerate pain better, or might even reduce the intensity of pain. Yet, by the time severely injured soldiers reach the hospital their euphoria has worn off and they are likely to feel more anxious, for example about their financial and social future. This led him to study the mind’s impact on pain control and self-healing and. He wrote a paper in 1955 on “The Powerful Placebo” which was published in the Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA), claiming that “placebos have a high degree of therapeutic effectiveness in treating subjective responses.”
Since then, research on placebos gradually accelerated. Especially the discovery of the role of natural opioids released by the body was a major break-through in this field. In 1978 a landmark experiment showed how pain relief from placebo actually has a physical effect on our body. Levine et al, used naloxone, a drug that blocks the effects of opiates on patients who had just undergone dental surgery. Levine's experiment showed that naloxone not only prevented pain relief from opioids, but it also blocked pain relief from placebo. This gave strong support to the hypotheses that taking placebos can trigger the body to employ its own natural opioids (called endorphins).

**Opioids**

Opioids are the most powerful drugs used for pain relief. This class of drugs is widely prescribed but has resulted in a controversy due to a 4-fold
increase in deaths over the first decade of the new millennium.

**Naloxone**

When the medication Naloxone is given, it blocks the body's opioid receptors and prevents other substances from binding to these receptors.

**Endorphins**

Endorphins are powerful and safe opioids that the body produces. Endorphins bind to opioid receptors in the brain. The same receptors are also used by opioid medications.

Recent studies using the brain-imaging technology showed that taking placebos leads to neurobiological patterns in the brain that can also be observed when powerful pain relievers are given. Petrovic *et al* (2002), demonstrated that the same regions of the brain are activated when patients
receive an opioid pain reliever (remifentanil) or when patients take a placebo. Similar brain imaging studies by Zubieta et al (2005) and Eippert et al (2009) also showed that placebos trigger brain responses that ameliorate pain.

For those who are interested in learning more about fascinating field of brain imaging in Neuroscience, the studies described here used two techniques to generate high definition, three dimensional images of brain function:

**Positron Emission Tomography (PET)**
PET measures metabolism and the flow of blood to different parts of the brain. PET is a non-invasive method that also allows for some movement during the scan, but it does expose patients to radiation from a biologically active tracer molecule.

**Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (FMRI)**
Similar to PET scans, FMRI measures blood-flow in the brain. FMRI has the advantage that a radioactive tracer molecule is not needed. The image resolution of FMRI is also better than PET. However, since patients need to remain still during FMRI scans, this imaging method cannot be used for experiments where, e.g., patients need to read out words during a scan. Redesigned equipment and advancements in computing power are likely to overcome this barrier in the future.

In the 1980’s, The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) started to require the use of placebos to demonstrate the therapeutic effectiveness of new drugs before giving market approval. The basic concept of FDA effectiveness trials is to compare a new drug to be tested to a placebo pill that looks exactly alike but is assumed to do “nothing”. FDA reviewed clinical trials are usually randomized, double blind studies, neither doctor nor patient know if the drug or the placebo
are administered. During the initial years of placebo-controlled trials there were many surprises when beneficial therapeutic effects were observed not only from the new drug to be approved, but also from its placebo counterpart. Thanks to the rigorous design of large clinical trials we now have ample evidence that placebos are efficacious. Later in the 90s the National Institutes of Health (NIH) started to fund placebo specific research that provided us more insight into how placebos work. That being said, up to now there are no therapeutic options available to use pure placebos outside of clinical studies. The use of placebo Generation 2.0 was neither feasible nor considered to be ethical in the regular care of patients. The paradigm of the Placebo 2.0 era states that 'patient deceit is required for placebos to work'.

For a paradigm shift to occur it is not enough to simply falsify its foundational laws. It also takes a contrarian mind to simply acknowledge observations that contradict a paradigm. Scientific
paradigm shifts are typically slow and only seen retrospectively. This was first observed by Thomas Kuhn and described in his book “The Structure of Scientific Revolutions” (1962). The dynamics of such fundamental shifts is also explored in more recent books such as “The Tipping Point” (2000) by Malcom Gladwell.

For more than twenty years after the therapeutic benefits of placebos were demonstrated in clinical trials not a single study questioned the fundamental belief that “placebos can work only with deceit”.

**Placebo 3.0**

It took a contrarian thinker to pursue the idea that the placebo effect could be reproduced in subjects that knew that they were taking placebos (honest Placebo).

The idea that honest placebos’ work was inconceivable for anyone thinking inside the placebo paradigm of the day. Paradigm shifts
require observations that are either stumbled upon by accident or are gathered purposely by a contrarian thinker. Ted Kaptchuk, Professor at Harvard’s Center for Placebo Studies, is such a contrarian. Utilizing an ingeniously simple study design that explored honest placebo use, resulted in a paradigm-shifting landmark study that was published in 2008.

Two groups of patients with Irritable Bowel Syndrom (IBS) received standard treatment. One of the groups also received a placebo in addition to their standard treatment. They were told that placebos had shown some beneficial effect in clinical trials. These patients were told that they would receive pure placebo pills and that was not necessary to believe in the placebo effect, but an open mind could be helpful. The pill bottles that patients took home were clearly labeled “Placebo”.

The outcome? The group that received a placebo in addition to standard treatment improved significantly more than the group that only received
standard treatment. Taking placebos in an honest way made a meaningful clinical difference for these patients.
This study really marks the start of the 3rd generation of Placebo treatment.

Zeebo

Disclaimer:
To shape the 3rd Placebo Generation, I created Zeebo - pure, open, branded placebo, taken in an honest way. Zeebo was designed to create a complete placebo-taking experience. Zeebo pills supported by the Zeebo App provide a showcase of how to deliver a honest placebo effect.
This book is a general introduction to 3rd Generation placebos. Zeebo is only mentioned here and in the chapter on “Placebo Examples”. If you want to know more about Zeebo, please go to: www.zeeboeffect.com
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Honest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generation 1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation 2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation 3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_Ancient Healing and Placebo_

For those who are interested here is a little more about ancient healing and placebo.

Most ancient drugs contained no active ingredients that would benefit the patient. There are some exceptions, such as poppy milk given for pain management. At the same time ancient drugs often did contain active ingredients that could harm the patient. For example, highly toxic mercury salts were given for some chronic conditions well into the 20th century.

Given the long history of medicine, science entered medicine only recently, about 200 years ago. Using
scientific method we learned to better distinguish between active vs. non-active and beneficial vs. harmful ingredients. The Food and Drug Administration started to require safety tests starting in the 1950s. As a consequence, most ancient remedies were banned from use because of safety concerns and lack of efficacy.

When ancient remedies provided a therapeutic benefit, it was most likely placebo effect.

We don't know when it started exactly, but the deceptive use of pure placebos in medical practice was widespread in the 19th century, when Thomas Jefferson called them 'pious fraud'. Even the most successful physician he knew commonly used 'bread pills' and 'colored water' to treat patients. Most doctors considered this use of placebos safe and a 'necessary deception.'

Today the deceptive use of pure placebo in regular patient care is broadly viewed as not acceptable in
the US. I suggest that three underlying forces are responsible for this shift:

- Entrance and embracement of science into medicine
- Changing quality of the doctor-patient relationship
- Trends in medical ethics and medical education

**Scientific Method and Placebo**

By the late 19th century, drugs with scientifically proven pharmacological properties such as quinine, an antimalarial agent, and aspirin, an anti-inflammatory drug, were discovered. Scientists like Claude Bernard and Robert Koch were one of the first to claim that scientific method should be the basis for new drug discovery and assessment.

**Limitations of Science in Medicine**
The insight gained from scientific experiments is limited by the degree to which all factors affect the results of a study can be controlled. When human subjects, researchers, and sponsors are involved things get complicated. Even well-designed trials with a sufficient number of participants for statistical analysis can be difficult to reproduce. Meta studies that review all existing clinical studies that answer the same question often come up with a summary that looks like an election result rather than an example of the consistency of scientific method.

*Individual Patient Response to Treatment*

Even when we have good agreement across studies, for example about the effectiveness of a medical treatment, we are looking at average results for large groups of patients. It happens frequently though that two patients with the same diagnosis respond very differently to the same treatment. They may respond at varying degrees or not at all.
One patient may experience severe side-effects and another does not have side effects at all. Usually there is no way to predict with certainty how an individual patient will respond to a given treatment. For some medical treatments the expected response rates are around 30%, which means that only about one in three patients will respond to the prescribed treatment. That's why it would be ideal to track treatment outcomes for each patient to ensure that the treatment is effective, can be fine tuned, or substituted if the desired results are not achieved. Individual outcome tracking will also enable us to uncover signs of unexpected adverse events much earlier and therefore improve patient safety. Eventually medicine will be able to employ individual information to enable better treatment predictions and decisions. For example, the availability and understanding of a patient's genetic information will allow us to deliver a more personalized treatment that achieves more consistent treatment benefits.
Pragmatic N = 1 Studies to Enhance Individual Care

While the use of genetic information lies in the future of personalized care, there is a lot we can already do today by better measuring, analyzing, and following up on how an individual patient responds to treatment over time. Even if systematic outcomes tracking is not done yet in a regular care setting, given the broad availability of tracking apps and sensors, it is possible for most patients right now to

• raise their well-being
• better understand their own health dynamics
• compare over time what worked and what didn't
• try out mind and body hacks (interventions) to better support treatment

The scientific approach that allows this is a “n=1” study. It's a study that only has one patient enrolled and is rooted in sequential assessments. The goal of
this method is to achieve the best health result for one patient, rather than to provide an average result for an entire patient population. However, N=1 studies also have the potential to discover interesting hypotheses that then can be later tested in populations. This approach is fairly new in medical practice, but I expect it will become widely used as patients embrace mobile apps and wearable sensors.

TracknShare Apps and the Quantified Self
Over the last years I worked on several projects to move the N=1 field forward. In 2002 I hacked into electronic key-chain devices and portable barcode scanners to build a portable symptom and therapy tracker. In 2009 I started to make iPhone and iPad apps for individual outcomes tracking. In 2011 I presented these projects at the first global Quantified Self conference in Palo Alto. Find out more here: www.tracknshareapp.com
Evidence-based Medicine and Medical Practice Today

While evidence-based medicine is the gold standard medical professionals have to rely on, many areas of medical practice simply are not yet backed up by scientific evidence. When a patient presents with problems doctors need to help. They rely their own experience, medical reasoning, intuition and practice guidelines. Medical guidelines usually describe the current standard of practicing medicine. Guidelines are backed up by evidence where available, follow tradition, or simply represent an agreement between those who have the authority to define medical guidelines. This is simply the best we can do today while striving to grow the body of evidence-based medicine.

Scientific Method for Individual Placebo Use

As we just saw there are three issues that define the intersection of science and medical practice today:
• Not all patients respond to prescribed treatment
• n = 1 methodology is only scarcely used in regular care
• Only some medical practice is in fact evidence-based

A solution that touches all three areas could mean for the care team to define Individual Treatment Plans (ITP) and to systematically track what works and what doesn't. Such a systematic solution could also provide the framework for the use of honest placebo in regular care.

While we try to build such solutions within the healthcare system it is possible that patients will lead the way and embrace this approach on their own.

_Possibly, the main advance in medical practice over the next 10 years will be driven by patients empowered with mobile apps and tracking devices,_
generating data on everything from prescription drugs, behavior, diet, supplements, and placebos.

For those who do not want to load up on apps and sensors just yet, it can still be helpful now to start a practice of writing down what happened and what seemed to work, e.g., a symptom diary.

**Placebo Use Today**

Physicians regularly prescribe pharmaceuticals without knowing exactly how they work. There is not enough time to read up on the literature and for most drugs only the major affected bio-molecular pathways are understood. In any case, a detailed mechanistic understanding of each drug is simply not necessary for clinical practice. Doctors can trust that approved drugs are safe within limitations and work for large groups of patients with the same diagnosis.

At the same time, there is no guarantee that a specific drug will work for an individual patient,
even when the given diagnosis is correct and the selected drug's indication matches the diagnosis. Initial trust and continuing observation are necessary to ensure effective care. Frankly, the uncertainty and the need for an observational approach to treat one patient with a pharmaceutical drug are not much different from how one would administer a placebo. In fact, it happens frequently that doctors use prescription drugs as placebos.

*Unwittingly or not, it is not rare that drugs get prescribed in doses that are ineffective or for diagnoses the drug was not approved for. In these cases prescription drugs are in fact frequently used as 2.0 placebo.*

The good news is that patients still benefit from the drug's placebo effect. The not so good news: side-effects from the drug's active ingredient still apply.
A Language to Talk about Placebos

We need a common language to talk about placebos. There are different types of placebos, yet we usually only use the same term to catch them all. This can cause confusion and misunderstanding. In this chapter I am proposing a simple system that I set up to classify placebos. I'll then use this classification to describe the most common examples of placebos today.

P - Pure Placebo

A pure placebo contains no biochemically active ingredient. Historically sugar has often been used as a filler for placebos. However, sugar is somewhat of an active ingredient. It can affect a person’s energy level and can cause problems for people with diabetes. When preparing a pure placebo it is better to use fillers that are inert. Such fillers contain no active ingredient and pass through the body without
any biochemical interaction. Pure placebos are 100% free of active ingredients.

**O - Open Placebo**

Open placebos, also called honest placebos, are a new concept that was explored only recently in placebo studies. A person who takes an open placebo knows it is a placebo. There is no deceit involved. An open placebo is given in a situation where all participants, including the patient, know that a placebo is used. For example, in the open placebo studies described here, the patients would receive a pill container labeled “Placebo”.

**B - Branded Placebo**

Today, brands play a tremendous role. They convey meaning that reaches the subconscious. Brands create trust and confidence. It makes sense and
studies confirmed this, that branded placebos solicit a stronger response than mere sugar pills. Branded placebos harvest the marketing power of modern medicine.

**Examples**

The placebo terms I just proposed bring clarity into the unfolding placebo discussion. Now, here is a list of placebos that you will find in real life. Some of these placebos may come as a surprise or appear weird, at first glance. Yet looking at the medical world form a placebo perspective can be helpful and can deepen our understanding of how medicine works.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Placebo Examples</th>
<th>Pure</th>
<th>Open</th>
<th>Branded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sugar Pill</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Off-Label</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug On-Label</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Gen Placebo</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ancient remedies
Many historical pharmacological compounds were placebo-like. There are not many traditional remedies that contain active ingredients that benefit the patient. At the same time ancient remedies usually were not pure placebos either. They often contained ingredients that could actually harm the patient.

The classical sugar pill
In the 19th century doctors would sometimes knowingly give generic sugar pills - just to “please the patient”. The deceitful use of sugar pills became increasingly controversial in the US, mainly for ethical reasons. Its use had very much ended by about 1950.
p[-] o[-] b[+]: Drugs used off-label
This may come as a surprise, but regular drugs are sometimes prescribed as placebo. If we apply today’s gold standard of evidence-based medicine, then a drug used off-label is in fact administered only as a placebo. Patients usually do not know when a drug is given for some other purpose than what the FDA approved it for. Doctors are sometimes aware of their off-label use but often are not. This is problematic. Drugs used as placebo are not pure placebo and still contain active ingredients that can cause harmful side effects, or can reduce the therapeutic benefit of a drug. E.g., bacterial antibiotics given for viral infections.

p[-] o[+] b[+]: Drugs used on-label
Most drugs when used as approved deliver a combination of biochemical and placebo effect. Patient and doctor usually know that the patient benefits from the combination of both effects. In some special cases, e.g., some antidepressants, the
placebo effect can make up 80% of the overall treatment effect. Instead of thinking about such drugs as ‘also having also a placebo effect’, would it not rather make sense to describe these as “biochemically enhanced placebos”?

p[+] o[¬] b[+]: Placebos in FDA trials
These placebos are pure, but they are not open. Patient and, if it is a double blind study, also the doctor do not know if the patient gets a placebo or the drug. These placebos are branded; the patient usually knows that a powerful pharmaceutical brand is sponsoring the study. The patient benefits from the brand's placebo effect. Even when the patient does not know which specific company is the sponsor of a trial, I suggest there is something like a “mega” brand that comprises all the leading pharmaceutical companies.
By design, these placebos are not available for regular care. It would be problematic if a placebo pill looked exactly like the active drug with patient
and doctor not being able to distinguish the two.
The ethical use of these often custom made
Generation 2.0 placebos is limited to large clinical
trials which are reviewed by the FDA for drug
approval.

p+[+] o+[+] b+[+]: Pure, open, branded placebo

Generation 3.0 placebos are pure and are taken in an
honest way. Their effect is enhanced by strong
product and brand design. I introduced Zeebo in
2014 to lead and shape 3rd generation placebos.
Zeebo is an integrated solution that consists of
Zeebo pills, a Zeebo pill-taking experience, and the
Zeebo tracking app.
Integrated Placebo

For a placebo to produce the best effect it needs to be integrated into an experience that has meaning, creates expectations and reaches the subconscious mind and body. More about that in the chapter “How Placebos Work”. In modern medicine meaning usually based on a mechanistic explanation of how a treatment works. It is important for us to understand how placebos work to give ourselves permission to respond to the placebo-experience. It is furthermore helpful to measure the individual treatment response.

Understanding how placebos work creates openness for trying placebos. Measuring results helps visualize the placebo effect and enables better decision making.

One of modern medicine’s claims is that it should be evidence-based. That’s why I propose to
integrate the placebo treatment into a personal outcomes measurement. If a person responds well to placebo then the measurement will show that and reinforce the placebo response. If the measurement indicates that there is no placebo treatment effect, it still benefits the patient, as it suggests that other treatment option should be pursued.

Hypothesis to be tested: Measuring and visualizing the placebo treatment effect reinforces and increases it over time.

An Integrated Placebo is part of a broader solution. It is embedded in a meaningful experience and it is supported by a personal outcomes tracking system that measures results that matter to the patient. Unfortunately such systems that track Patient Reported Outcomes are rarely used today at all.

<p>| n=1 Tracking Integration | Integration useful? | Integration offered? |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active Drug</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>–</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; Gen Placebo</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, since using open placebos is a new field, I propose we get it right from the start. To help establish this approach I created 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation placebos that are supported by a tracking system. For those who don't want to use mobile apps to track how they are doing over time, I still want to encourage you to maintain a symptom diary, even in paper form. This raises your awareness of how symptoms come and go and what might affect your health.

**Chapter II**

**Placebos Work**

*Doctors trust placebos while facing a dilemma.*
It appears that physicians intuitively know that placebos work. At least they act in a way that is consistent with this belief. A national survey of medical internists and rheumatology specialists in the US (Tilburt, 2008) showed that about half of these professionals prescribe medications as placebos on a regular basis. Most physicians (62%) believed this practice to be ethical. Yet, only 5% tell their patients they are receiving a placebo. They typically describe the placebo treatment to be “potentially beneficial” or “not typically used for their condition” (68%).

Medications used as placebo were, in order of popularity: Painkillers (41%), Vitamins (38%), Saline Solution Injections or Infusions (18%), Antibiotics (13%), Tranquilizers (13%), and Sugar pills (12%).

A meta-analysis review of 22 studies conducted in 12 countries supported these findings and found that the use of placebos outside of clinical trials is considerable (Fässler, 2010).
This poses an ethical dilemma: The American Medical Association (AMA) advocates against the use of placebos without the patient's knowledge.

*Trust and transparency in a collaborative care model*

If we want to empower care teams we need to build on trust and transparency. Keeping the patient somewhat in the unknown may be beneficial in an authoritative care model, but for more active patients who are competent partners in their own care we need to be more transparent. This includes how we use placebos as well.

*When a pure placebo, such as a sugar pill, is administered in a deceptive way, that's a violation of the patient’s trust.*

*When an active drug, such as an antibiotic, is given as a placebo that’s a violation of trust and of the “First do no harm” principle.*
The exposure to the potential side-effects from active ingredients in painkillers, antibiotics, or tranquilizers poses a real health risk for some patients. In addition, prescribing antibiotics as placebo adds to overuse and increases population-wide antibiotic-resistance.

*We have a significant problem: strong demand (for placebos) matched with a lousy solution (prescribe drugs as placebo).*

This is a great opportunity for those who can solve it. A good solution will deliver placebo benefits without deception and avoid side-effects from active ingredients. Well, there is good news.

*News: Placebo without deception enhanced treatment.*
In a break-through study, Ted Kaptchuck at Harvard Medical School's Osher Research Center and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Kaptchuk, 2010) tested the effects of honest placebo in patients with Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS). In this study the patients knew what they were getting:

a) placebo + standard treatment
b) standard treatment alone

80 patients with IBS were randomly assigned to both groups. Patients in the placebo group took their placebo pills twice a day from a bottle clearly labeled 'Placebo'. Patient-provider interaction was maintained in both groups. The participants were given some points to remember. They were told that even though the placebo pills contained no active ingredients, placebos used in clinical trials had shown to be somewhat beneficial. Those who did not receive a placebo were assured that their participation was essential for the study.
Patients who participated in the study answered questionnaires at study start and at days 11 and 21. Their health outcomes were measured using the IBS Global Improvement Scale, the IBS Symptom Severity Scale, IBS Adequate Relief, and the IBS Quality of Life scales.

*The patients who received the honest placebo in addition to standard treatment did a lot better than the patients who received standard treatment alone.*

The difference was statistically significant and clinically meaningful. In other words:

a) Researchers using statistical methods were highly confident that the differences between both groups were real. b) Clinicians and patients found that the size of this difference really mattered in the life of a patient with IBS.

Kaptchuk's study was a real break-through in several ways:
• the major first investigation of open placebo use
• offered a practical approach for the administration of honest placebo use in clinical care
• delivered a solution that uses pure placebo
• potential to increase acceptance for honest placebo use
• shows a path for more placebo research to come

Since open placebo studies are only a recent concept, most studies covered in this book had a design of either keeping the patient in the unknown or coerce the patient into believing they are received an active drug. Let's just keep in mind that these studies are basically Placebo 2.0 studies. We can still learn a lot from these. Would we find similar results if these studies were done with open placebo? I believe in most cases we would. Ted Kaptchuk, with his break through study of honest placebos, ignited research to confirm that Placebo 2.0 effects also apply to Placebo 3.0.
In the meantime, while more research results are coming in, consumers, patients, and doctors can start designing pure and honest placebo-experiences that complement standard care in a personalized, safe and ethical way.

*Most studies described in this book used a non-open placebo design. We can still learn from these Generation 2.0 studies to come up with the best design for open-placebo use.*

**Focus matters.**
The potential for a placebo response varies widely depending on the medical condition placebo administration is applied to. It appears that conditions involving bothersome (non-life threatening) symptoms such as mild depression, mild anxiety, chronic pain, and gastrointestinal issues are good indications to experience a placebo effect. This has been shown in several studies. e.g., (Kirsch, 2008). When it comes to performance enhancement, studies show good placebo responses
for ADHD, chronic fatigue syndrome, Parkinson's disease, and also erectile dysfunction (ED). More about that in the chapter “Placebo Value”.

Regarding self-healing, there are some interesting computer models and studies that support the idea that placebos can be designed to beneficially influence the immune system. More about that in the chapter “How placebos work.”

**Design works.**
The design of placebo pills is an essential element for an effective placebo experience. Studies show that pill features such as the color and size matter for a patient's response to the treatment. A review of twelve studies (de Craen, 1996) looked into participants' perception of how drugs act, depending on pill colors. The results showed that patients usually associated red, orange and yellow colors with a stimulant effect, while they associate green and blue colors with tranquilizing effect. An exception to this rule was observed in study
participants from Italy. Blue is the color of Italy's national sports teams.

*In most cultural settings, green and blue color produce a calming placebo effect.*

**Price works.**

*Placebo Price and Symptom Relief*

Here is an interesting study about placebo pricing and symptom relief (Waber 2008). Dan Ariely, a behavioral economist at Duke University showed in a study with 82 healthy volunteers that price matters. All participants got a painful stimulus - they were given electric shocks. They were then offered a placebo pill for pain relief. The price of the placebo mattered for pain relief:

- 85% of volunteers who took placebos priced at $2.50 per pill experienced pain relief
- 61% experienced pain relief who took the same pill, but priced at 10 cents
That's more than a 20% difference between groups.

*Price really matters for symptom relief from placebos.*

**Placebo Price and Performance**

In another study, researchers measured the number of words that healthy study participants were able to unscramble after consuming a branded soft drink (Shiv, 2005). One group of participants was told that studies showed such drinks create large improvements in mental functioning. A second group was told that these drinks only provide a slight improvement in performance. Participants were also told about the cost of the drink. Half of the participants in each group were told that the drink costs $1.89, while the others believed the drink's price was just 89 cents. Finally, the third group performed the word-unscrambling test without learning about the drink at all. Here are the results:
• An average member of the control group unscrambled 7 words within the time given.
• The group that thought the drink was only slightly effective and was cheap unscrambled 4 words.
• The group that thought the drink was very effective and high priced got over 10 words.

*More expensive placebos produce better mental performance.*

**Meaning works.**  
Daniel Moerman, an anthropologist at the University of Michigan, emphasizes the importance of meaning in soliciting a placebo response. He actually prefers to use the term 'Meaning Response'. According to Moerman, people respond to the meaning of what they have been told about their treatment. (Moerman and Jonas, 2002).
It appears that a more meaningful treatment is better able to solicit support from our mind and body. More about how our subconscious mind and body support this can be found in the chapter “How Placebos Work”. For now, here are some studies that demonstrate the importance of meaning for placebo responses.

*The Placebo Effect of Brands*

Brands are one of the most effective means to instantly convey a richness of meaning. Depending on the cultural setting and exposure to media, brands trigger emotions, expectations, and meaning. In a study that looked at the placebo effect of brands in the US, migraine patients were randomly assigned to one of four treatment groups:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Efficacy</th>
<th>Aspirin</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Branded</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-branded</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The branded and non-branded aspirin versions worked better for pain relief than the placebos.
However, branding improved pain relief for aspirin as well as for the placebo. (Branthwaite & Cooper, 1981)

*The Placebo Effect of Explanations*
In a different study, pain patients were assigned to one of two groups. One group was given an injection of saline solution (pure placebo) and were told that it was helpful for pain relief. The other group received the same saline solution without explanation. Only the group that received the explanation experienced a reduction in pain. (Benedetti & Amanzio, 1997)

*The Placebo - Diagnosis Effect*
Howard Brody a family physician who has also written much about the clinical use of placebos, emphasizes that a doctor's medical diagnosis supplies meaning to a patient's symptoms and probably plays an important role for a patient's placebo response (Brody & Brody, 2000).
Experience works.
“Taking a pill” is a central healing ritual in modern society. Most of us have gone through this experience many times throughout their lives. Our conscious and subconscious mind has a deep understanding of the curative potential of this ritual. We know what to expect: symptom relief, enhanced performance, or elimination of bacteria. The repeated experience of the pill-taking ritual has conditioned our body to automatically respond in supporting ways. For studies that demonstrate that pill taking experience works for the placebo effect, please refer to the chapter “How Placebos Work”.
For know, let us remember that the following:

Placebos work better if they are embedded in a meaningful context that creates expectations and taps into the patient's experience.

Adherence works.
Adhering to the therapeutic plan works for active drugs as well as for placebos. Some call this 'patient
compliance'. I prefer a term that is less 'top-down' and more compatible with the idea of an empowered team in which the patient has a more pro-active, central and self-asserting role. When Individual Treatment Plans (ITP) are developed in collaboration with the patient, then Adherence or Compliance will improve.

The issue of 'Patient Compliance' is highly relevant for patient health and for pharmaceutical sales. Studies estimate that about 50% of all treatment benefits are lost because patients do not refill their prescriptions or do not take their drugs according to schedule.

*The relationship between adherence and therapeutic benefit is relevant for both, drugs and placebos.*

The Coronary Drug Project Research Group (1980) evaluated the efficacy and safety of various drugs used in the long-term treatment of coronary heart
disease. As expected, the group found that patients who adhered to their treatment protocol during the 5-year follow-up had a significantly lower mortality than those who did not take their prescriptions regularly. The surprising finding was that patients who received pure placebo pills during the trials and took them as prescribed were also more likely to survive than those in the placebo group who did not adhere to the plan. This study was not originally designed to investigate placebos, but it does provide us with hypothesis generating results that can be formulated as follows:

**Hypotheses on long-term placebo use:**

A) placebos can provide long-term benefits

B) placebo adherence improves therapeutic efficacy

**Strength of the Placebo Effect**
A meta-analysis of 152 published reports found that placebos often have a similar benefit over no-treatment as treatments have over placebos (Howick, 2013). In other words

*Compared to no-treatment patients in placebo groups get about 50% of the benefit of the treated patients.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison of Benefits</th>
<th>100% = Treatment over No-treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment over Placebo</td>
<td>□ 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo over No-treatment</td>
<td>□ 50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Why no-treatment groups matter*

Even though the number of 152 studies reviewed is very large for a meta-analysis, the design of these studies was very unusual. Typically, clinical studies are designed to compare two groups: treatment group and placebo group. The studies reviewed also included a “no-treatment” group. A design with three groups is very insightful for the following
reason. Even people who get no treatment can get better. In fact, this is often the case. Here are some common examples, someone...

- gets the flu and recovers without treatment
- suffers from episodic back pain that comes and goes
- already feels better when seeing the doctor

These three examples actually describe effects that are well known by statisticians:

- Natural History of Disease (people recover on their own)
- Regression to the Mean (symptoms return to average values over time)
- Hawthorn Effect (doctors makes people feel better)

Ideal clinical studies should have a non-treatment group to capture and compare these three effects. Patients who receive a placebo, benefit from these three effects in addition to the Placebo Effect. A person getting treatment, benefits from all four
effects mentioned before and in addition gets the Treatment Effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why we get better</th>
<th>No treatment</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural History</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression to Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorn Effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo Effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taking all five effects into account the review found that

*Placebo and Treatment Effect are about the same size.*

Let's just keep in mind that the term Treatment Effect is often used more loosely and captures several if not all of the effects mentioned here. As always, it's important to look at the context.

Another interesting outcome from the meta-review:
The studies that showed the greatest benefit of placebo over no-treatment were those that used continuous outcomes measurements (0 – 10 pain scale) rather than binary outcomes (yes / no).

*It matters how we measure the strength of the placebo effect.*

Despite the rigor of the meta-analyses described here, the 50% effect for placebo is only an approximation that was derived from studies that were already available. To air on the safe side, keep these two points in mind:

- placebo effects vary a lot and depend on many factors
- we cannot predict who will respond to placebo

More about this in the chapters 'Focus Matters' and 'Patient's Placebo Manual'.

As a rule of thumb, in clinical pain studies patients who take placebo get an average pain reduction of 2
points on a (0 – 10) point pain scale (Hoffmann 2005, Levine 1984). This average includes participants who respond to placebo and those who do not. When looking only into the subgroup of placebo responders, pain reduction can be as high as 5 points on a (0 – 10) pain scale (Benedetti 1996).

**Nocebo Effect**

When receiving pharmaceutical treatments patients benefit from a combined effect that includes the effect from the drug's active ingredient and the effect from the mind and body's own placebo response. However, active ingredients of drugs can also cause undesired side-effects. For example, the medical treatments for common back pains often combines a painkiller and a muscle relaxant. The active ingredients in those drug's can cause undesired side effects, such as drowsiness. Pure placebos do not contain active ingredients. By design, pure placebos get around the issue of side-effects from active ingredients. However, it is very
important to design pure placebo experiences in a way that is unambiguously positive and targets only beneficial effects. As we learned in the previous chapters, meaning and expectations matter. Here is an example for a placebo context that carries a positive message:

“I am taking this placebo pill for symptom relief, helping my mind and body to get relief from back pain.”

Honest and pure placebos can be 'filled' with any meaning and expectation that we want to give it. The design of open placebo experiences is a modern form of a Trojan Horse, or a mind and body hack, to get an entirely positive message conveyed to our subconscious mind and body. On the flip side, when placebos are not received in this positive context, you may end up with undesired effects. In those cases, we are sending an ambiguous message to the subconscious. Why is
that? In blinded placebo-controlled studies that have uncertainty built in, patients know that there is a 50% chance that the pills they receive contain an active ingredient that could also cause side-effects. This situation can lead placebo responses that create negative effects. These phenomena that can occur in blinded, randomized studies are called Nocebo Effects.

However, when pure placebos are taken in an honest way, the expectations are clear: the patient is 100% sure that no active ingredient is at work that could cause side effects. The pure and open placebo design can send a message to our mind and body that is clear, focused, and positive.

Since studies involving pure and open placebos are recent and few, allow me to make the following statement in form of a hypothesis. Currently there is no experimental data to back this up, but I believe that this deserves further study:
Hypothesis: Honest placebo design using pure placebo enables focus on the beneficial effects and eliminates the Nocebo Effect.

Chapter III

Placebo Value

Working through literature on placebo studies I found that placebos provide value in mainly in three areas:

• symptom relief
• self-healing
• performance enhancement

In addition, there are two other fields that I think could benefit from placebo use: happiness and personal growth.

Relief symptoms.
One of most important benefits of placebos is that they can provide relief from symptoms - especially subjective and bothersome symptoms, such as pain.

**Chronic Back Pain**
Lower pack pain is one of the most common types of chronic pain. About eighty percent of adults experience low back pain sometime in their lives. Lower back pain can be the result of poor posture, injury, weight problems, arthritis, genetics, and can occur even without apparent reason. Low back pain has a far reaching impact, it

- consumes a large amount of our healthcare resources
- leads to missed work days and reduced productivity
- impairs physical function and quality of life
- comes with suffering

Lower back pain is often treated with pain relief medications such as opioid analgesics or Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAID), but also with antidepressants, muscle relaxants and
herbal medicines. In the majority of cases lower back pain is self-limiting - it resolves after some time without treatment.

**Placebo vs. NSAID**
A large review of studies which involved more than 11,000 participants with lower back pain (Roelofs, 2008) demonstrated that placebos are almost as effective as NSAIDs for short-term pain relief in patients with acute and chronic low-back pain.

**Placebo vs. Antidepressant**
Another review of ten clinical trials evaluating the effects of antidepressants for low-back pain showed that placebo was about as effective as antidepressants in relieving back pain symptoms (Urquhart, 2008).

**Placebo vs. Acetaminophen**
A recent Australian study that was very well designed showed that placebo is just as effective as
acetaminophen (Tylenol) for lower back pain (Williams, 2014). Many medical guidelines around the world recommend using acetaminophen as a First Line Treatment for sudden onset lower back pain; it is the first treatment that doctors try for a patient who was recently diagnosed.

For those who are interested, here is a little more insight into this study: 1,643 patients with acute lower back pain were randomly assigned to one of three groups. Each patient received two boxes with the following instructions:

- take two pills three times daily
- take as needed

Each group of patients received either

- only placebo
- only the active drug
- one box placebo, one box active drug

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Take three times daily</th>
<th>Take as needed</th>
<th>Days until recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Active drug</td>
<td>Active drug</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Active drug</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Placebo worked as well as acetaminophen for lower back-pain during the 4 week treatment.

75% of all patients were satisfied with the treatment, regardless of the group they were in. During the study, no differences were detected between the three groups in:

- recovery time
- pain
- sleep
- disability & function
- quality of life

While the placebos in this study were not taken openly, this study is important. Previous findings that pure placebo provide about the same pain relief for lower back pain as Acetaminophen may allow our mind and body to open up and engage in the placebo experience. Also, let’s note that, Acetaminophen intoxication induced liver failure is
one of the most frequent causes for medication-induced intensive care admissions

**Acute Migraine**

There are many studies that looked at the effect of placebo on acute migraine. A recent study by Ted Kaptchuk is especially relevant, because it compared different labels for placebo to a migraine drug (Kam-Hansen, 2014). What gives this study a unique perspective is that the same patient would take a pill from envelopes that had one of three messages:

- this is a placebo
- this is the active drug
- this could be the active drug or the placebo

In all cases, regardless of what the envelope said, it could actually contain the active drug or the placebo. The main result of the study for us was:

Patients who knowingly took the placebo (open placebo) experienced an average 15% decrease in pain after 30 min. Patients who took nothing (no
treatment) experienced a 15% \textit{increase} in pain during the same time.

15\% \textit{pain decrease} vs. 15\% \textit{increase} is a significant and meaningful difference of open placebo vs no treatment.

There is more to this study. Other observations:
1) Also after \textit{two} hours, placebo did significantly better than no treatment measured on a 0 – 10 pain scale.
2) Placebo provided similar pain relief regardless how it was labeled: “placebo”, “unknown”, “active drug”.
3) The drug provided more pain relief than placebo, except when the active drug was labeled “placebo”.
4) When patients were asked the yes/no question if their pain was completely gone after 2.5 hours there was no significant difference between placebo and no treatment.
5) 30% of patients who received the active drug responded they were pain free after 2.5 hours.

**Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS)**
IBS is a good candidate to benefit from placebo effects. We already discussed a key study on *open* placebo use for IBS in the chapter “The Honest Placebo” (Kaptchuk, 2008)

**Stress and Anxiety**
Placebos have been found to be very effective for symptom relief from chronic stress and anxiety. The efficacy of Placebos in clinical trials is typically very high for anti-anxiety drugs. Because a strong placebo effect leaves little room for active drugs to show a superior effect, anti-anxiety medications have a hard time getting FDA approval (Schweizer, 1997).

**Depression**
A meta-analysis reviewed 19 double-blind clinical trials that enrolled a total of 2,318 patients with
depression (Kirsch, 1998), found that the placebo-effect of anti-depressants accounted on average for 75% of the total treatment effect. When unpublished studies were included in a later review (Kirsch, 2008) placebos turned out to be just as effective as active drugs for mild to moderate depression.

*Permit self-healing.* Research suggests our mind has an ON/OFF switch to our immune system. Almost anyone can recall an episode of getting sick right after an extended period of stress. For example, you studied hard for final school exams and get sick exactly the day after you finally pass all tests. At first there might have been a sense of great relief and then you come down with a cold. Some may argue that stress itself makes us sick, but then why do we get sick after a stressful period is over and not right in the middle of it? Think about it the following way: *Feeling sick* is actually beneficial. What we experience as sickness is our immune system kicking into full gear:
fatigue, fever, sleepiness are the effects of cytokines that our immune system releases to prepare the body to fight off the infection, and to heal. Since viral or bacterial pathogens show no courtesy and don't wait to invade us until we, for example, finish our final exams, it looks like our immune system actually holds off. It appears that our immune response is put on low flame while we are stressed. Such a delayed response of our immune system might have been smart and beneficial for survival when early humans faced existential threats. Let's say ten-thousand years ago one of our ancestors came down with an infection. Usually such an event would trigger the immune system to go into full gear to defend the body. Now picture an existential threat, like a severe food shortage, or a saber tooth tiger, that puts you and your children at risk. Suddenly there is a trade-off to be made: fever triggered by the immune system costs additional energy, fatigue slows the body down. It's easy to imagine that survival chances are higher if the
immune system remains in a suppressed mode until we haven taken care of that tiger or the food shortage. Now, after reaching a state of safety it's a better time to turn the immune response to ON, slow down and spend energy on fighting that pathogen.

A immune system with an ON / OFF switch that takes a person's situation into account is an evolutionary important achievement.

However, the modern stress that we experience at school or at work is typically not an existential threat anymore. We usually have enough food and shelter these days to support a fully responsive immune system at any time.

If our mind has an ON/OFF switch to our immune system, then placebo-rituals might be able to work as a mind-body hack. They would carry a message to our subconscious, that “it is safe to get well now”.
I did not find clinical studies that looked at placebo triggered self-healing. However my childhood experience with gummy bears for minor injuries is a story that feels exactly like that.

**ON/OFF Immune System Switch - Animal Study**

The biologist Peter Trimmer found that Siberian hamsters tended to fight off an infection better if lights above their cage were kept on for longer periods, mimicking summer, than if they were kept longer in the dark, which was like winter. Winter triggers the survival mode. Allowing for extending extra energy by the immune system to increase body temperature (a fever) might deplete the animal’s energy reserves before winter is over. It might make more sense to just keep the pathogen in check and wait with a full response when resources are plentiful again. In this case the light carries a simple message: longer light period = more safety to use resources.
ON/OFF Immune System Switch – Computer Simulation

Peter Trimmer then designed an evolutionary computer model that simulates the survival over many generations. He compared animal models that have an ON/OFF switch to the immune system versus those that do not. In the simulation, the genes that carried an ON/OFF switch allowed their carriers to live longer and produced more offspring.

Computer Simulation shows that an ON / OFF switch to the immune system comes with an evolutionary and therefore survival advantage.

Here are some questions that came to my mind when reviewing these studies:

- Can our immune system learn from our perceptions, feelings, actions, conscious thought?
- Can we hack into our immune system?
• How can we best create the states of mind and body that our immune system instinctively recognizes as safe and allowing?

The Modern Healing Ritual
It appears that the “permission to get better now” is an essential step in the patient experience that is at the heart of modern healthcare:
• a person experiences symptoms
• the person becomes a doctor’s patient
• the doctor examines the patient
• the patient receives a diagnosis
• the patient receives a prescription for pills
• the patient takes the pills as prescribed
• the patient gets better

Of course there are a lot of factors at play in modern care, but most everyone can recall a doctor's visit that was structured this way and resulted in a profound feeling that things would get better now. There is no doubt that such a confidence affects the
body's healing power. The “pill-taking ritual” creates a feeling of safety and confidence, and gives the mind and body's permission to tap into its own resources that otherwise would be less accessible.

*Placebos allow us to perform and benefit from modern healing rituals without exposure to active ingredients.*

**Enhance performance.**

*Symptom relief improves performance.*

In addition to providing relief from pain and other symptoms, placebos can help us in functioning better. Being able to function matters in many ways:

- perform well at work
- be able to live a healthy life
- live a fulfilling social life

*Some conditions directly affect performance.*
It is not difficult to imagine that when pain, stress, and anxiety are relieved, our ability to function improves as well. Unfortunately there are conditions that directly affect our ability to perform and function. Examples are:

- Osteoarthritis
- Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD)
- Parkinson's
- Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (CFS)
- Erectile Dysfunction (ED)

We'll cover these and other functional impairments later in this chapter.

*Competitive Performance*

In addition we'll have a look at increasing performance in a competitive way. This very widespread societal interest drives the popular use of dietary supplements but also the inappropriate use of some prescription drugs. We'll explore how placebos might provide us with an ethical and low risk alternative in this area.
Knee Function
In patients who suffer from knee osteoarthritis, the range of motion may be severely affected. These patients often have difficulty getting out of bed, getting dressed, walking around the house, or getting to places. Besides NSAIDs, a medication that is often prescribed to these patients is prednisone, a steroid that can reduce inflammation, but also has the potential for serious side effects, especially when taken for long periods. One study found that although low-dose steroid therapy reduced inflammation and pain and improved knee function in most patients with severe osteoarthritis, about one-third of patients also responded just as well to placebo (Abou-Raya, 2014).

Sham knee surgery
Another popular type of treatment for knee osteoarthritis is arthroscopic surgery and lavage. This simple surgical procedure cleanses the inside of the knee joint using an instrument with an
integrated video camera that aids the surgeon in flushing out debris and inflammatory enzymes from the joint. Although some older studies suggest that this procedure improves knee function, one study comparing the effects of arthroscopy versus sham surgery found that patients who underwent sham surgery actually did better than those who underwent arthroscopy (Moseley, 2002).

**Chronic Fatigue**

Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (CFS) is another important condition that impairs the ability to function of many men and women today. An analysis that pooled the data from 29 studies in which 1016 people with CFS received various placebos found that about 20% of patients with CFS respond to placebo. This figure is actually lower than 30% rule of thumb for placebo responses. However, the study found that even medical interventions for CFS had only a 24% response rate. CFS is a medical field that has lower placebo response rates than other medical areas, but it is also
a field that has difficulty delivering active treatments that are effective. The author of the study concluded: “Treating CFS is very difficult—we don't have a magic pill, and it can take a long time for patients to get well.” (Cho, 2005).

In such a situation where the expected placebo response rate is relatively low, but active treatment does not appear to be particularly efficacious, it still might make sense to try a pure and honest placebo. If one in five patients gets better, that's is great especially if it can be achieved without the risk of side effects.

If the placebo does not help it can be discontinued at any time and the next medical treatment option can be tried.

**Parkinson's**
As we will read in the forthcoming chapter “How Placebos Work”, people with Parkinson's Disease (PD) have benefited from placebo in several studies. Patients who received placebo pills and also placebo surgery experienced an increased ability to
function. We will discuss how placebo seem to increase Dopamine levels in the brain, which is the underlying principle of many medications that treat PD.

A meta-review that looked at placebo response rates in 11 Parkinson's studies used a very strict definition for a positive placebo response which included a 50% improvement in the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale motor score (UPDRS). Out of 858 patients who were on placebo in these studies, about 16% of patients responded to placebo. While this response rate across all studies is low, some studies had placebo response rates of up to 55%. The studies with high response rates focused on specific complications of PD (motor fluctuations), used surgical interventions, or had patients who started out with worse UPDRS. It is hard to make any predictions from this data, but if you want to consider trying a pure and honest placebo, then it may be helpful to know this data:
In some studies of patients with Parkinson's Disease the odds were as high as 50% that a patient would benefit from a placebo.

**ADHD**
Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD) is another condition that has been linked to Dopamine regulation in the brain. People with ADHD have difficulty with focusing on one task and with maintaining their attention over time. We'll cite several studies about ADHD in the chapter “How Placebos Work”. Here is an interesting honest placebo study that shows placebos can work for ADHD.

**Honest Placebo Use for Reducing ADHD Medication**
Without losing any beneficial effect, researchers were able to lower the dosage of ADHD medication with the help of placebos (Sandler, 2008). This
study enrolled 26 children with ADHD, ages 7–15 years, who were stable on stimulant therapy. With the agreement of the parents all children had their daily dose of the active drug cut in half.

- Group 1 received placebo pills to keep the total number of daily pills the same.
- Group 2 did not receive any placebos to fill the gap.

Parents, clinicians, and children were told that the placebo pills were “not active”. Several standardized outcomes measures were used for assessment by parents and teachers, clinical observations, and to measure side-effects.

The results: In both groups side-effects decreased when the daily active drug dosage was cut in half. Parent, teacher, and clinical assessments stayed the same as long as the reduced dosage was replaced with placebo pills. The group that did not receive placebo pills had worse results in the parent and clinical assessment. Out of 13 children who
received placebo, individual assessment showed that 8 responded to placebo (60%).

**Respiratory Function**

Asthma is a chronic respiratory condition characterized by wheezing, coughing and difficulty breathing. Doctors often prescribe medications that are dispensed through an inhaler which delivers a few puffs of active drug, resulting in relief of symptoms and improvement of respiratory function. One study published in the New England Journal of Medicine (Wechsler, 2011) found, when respiratory function (Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second or FEV$_1$) was tested, results showed that there was a 20% improvement from the drug, which was three-times the improvement from placebo (7%). Measured in terms of air flow, the active drug clearly provided more functional improvement than the placebo. However, the patients themselves perceived that the improvement in breathing was about the same, no matter if the active drug or a
placebo was used. Daniel Moerman, a researcher at the University of Michigan argues that,

“For treatment to be meaningful, it is sufficient that it results in a significant improvement of symptoms for the patient and has no short or long term side effects.” (Moerman, 2011).

**ED**

Erectile Dysfunction (ED) is another condition for which placebo performance in clinical trials comes very close to that of active drugs.

**Open Placebo vs Non-open Placebo Use for ED**

In an interesting study that used only placebo pills to treat ED, 123 patients were randomly assigned to one of three groups (de Araujo, 2008). One group was told they would receive a “substance”. Another group believed to get an active drug. The third group was told they would take placebos. Actually all three groups received placebo pills. In other words, the
first group was left in the unknown
second group was deceived
third group received open placebo

After 8 weeks the severity of ED improved for each of the three groups by more than 30%. While that is a meaningful improvement of function, it is also important to note that:

*Open placebo worked as well as non-open placebo for ED.*

In other words, all patient groups experienced substantial improvement and patient deception was not a necessity for the placebo to have an effect. Given high placebo response rates in clinical trials, little or no downside risks, and positive results with an openly administered placebo, ED seems to be a good area to try open placebos. More about that in the chapter “The Patient's Placebo Manual”.
ED looks like a good field to try a pure, honest placebo.

**Cognitive Performance**
There is a lot of controversy around ADHD drugs being overused to produce above-average performance. Amongst children and teenagers the diagnosis and prescription of performance enhancing ADHD drugs is estimated to be 3x as high as the number of children who actually have ADHD. FDA is responsible for protecting the public health by assuring the safety and efficacy of drugs that provide a medical need. The FDA does not approve drugs for the purpose of “gaining a competitive edge”. Doping is generally not accepted as ethical behavior in professional sports, school, or social life. Without being critical of the situation, it is a fact that a large amount of people take prescription medication to stimulate top performance. In doing that they also expose themselves to potentially serious side effects from active pharmacological compounds. If you are
taking drugs to increase your performance, then you might be better off trying a pure placebo first. It might just work.

*Pure, honest placebo used for top performance solves an ethical dilemma and avoids side-effects of active substances.*

**Athletic Performance**
My thoughts here are following along the same lines laid out in Cognitive Performance. There is an impressive study that supports the point that that mind can solicit positive changes in the body.

**Mental Workout vs Going to the Gym**
Students in two groups either started a physical strength training program working out in the gym, or only imagined going through the workout routines in their mind (Shackell 2007). As expected from a hard workout done over several weeks, the gym group achieved a good strength increase,
measured at 28%. However, the “placebo” group using mental training alone also achieved an increase in muscle strength of 24%. That's quite impressive.

*Mental workout strength gain reached 7/8 of gym workout.*

I tried it myself. It works for me, but I find mental workout almost as exhausting as going to the gym. So I decided to ride the bike to work instead. Now, I would suggest that the same mental workout supported by a placebo pill experience could take the combined effect above that of the gym group. Anyone interested in trying?

*Nurture Well-being*
This is not a traditional topic you would typically find under medication. Medicine is officially focused on treating medical problems. Placebos can do more, they are an open door to creative freedom. We can design placebo experiences in any way we
want to. One area that I find extremely interesting is “Nurture Positive”. I am borrowing this term from Shinzen Young, one of the creators of modern mindfulness practice. More about that in the chapter “Beyond Placebos”. For now, let’s just take note that,

*Well-designed placebo-taking experiences might have the potential to help develop positive personality traits.*
Chapter IV

How Placebos Work

Research on the mechanisms of placebos responses is fairly new. It actually took a long time to even consider this field to be a research topic. Each placebo generation was characterized by a distinct attitude towards placebos. First there was neglect of the placebo effect, then surprise, and now curiosity:

Interest level in placebos over time:

- 1st Generation - “Placebos do nothing.”
- 2nd Generation - “Placebos work!”
- 3rd Generation - “Let's figure this out.”

“How Placebos Work” is a hot topic now. One of the main goals of the National Institutes of Health – Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NIH - CCAM) is to study and to develop
practical solutions that make placebo responses more likely and effective in a regular care setting. In this chapter we'll focus on how placebos work on our mind and body. More about the practical use of placebos in the chapters “Placebo Manual for Patients” and “Placebo Manual for Doctors”.

**Placebos work on our mind.**

**Expectation**

*How do expectations work for placebo effects?*

It appears that our conscious and subliminal mind let our body know what the initiated medical treatment is expected to achieve. This enables the body to actively support treatment and to help generate the expected effect.

Expectations are a powerful driver of the treatment effect. This becomes especially apparent when the promised treatment is in fact not given while the
expected treatment effect is still observed. In fact, many experiments have shown that:

*Even simple instructions or verbal cues can create expectations that produce a placebo response.*

*Expecting Caffeine Raises Blood Pressure*
In a study conducted on coffee drinkers (Kirsch, 1988), participants who were made to believe they were receiving different doses of caffeine. They reacted in the way one would expect. The more caffeine the participants thought they had consumed, the stronger were the effects observed:
- elevated mood
- increased heart rate
- elevated blood pressure
- shorter reaction times

However, all participants were drinking the same strength, decaffeinated coffee. While some of the responses, such as elevated mood, could be categorized under 'make-belief', elevated blood
pressure, heart rate and reaction times are responses that are typically not under our conscious control. Expectations have a real effect on the body.

As we will see in the chapter “Neurobiological Pathways”, expectations formed in the mind are passed on via neurobiological pathways to produce a response of the body.

*Higher Pain Tolerance vs. Pain Relief*

Translating these results of the study with coffee drinkers to the field of pain relief, we can formulate the following hypothesis:

*Expectations not only lead to higher tolerance for pain, but also reduce experienced pain intensity.*

*Expectations accelerate symptom relief and healing.*

In the following I will describe a study that illustrates how patient expectations can produce a
therapeutic effect even before the drug's biochemical effects become effective. Psychiatrists who prescribe antidepressants know that these drugs' active ingredients take at least a few weeks to show a measurable effect. However, many patients report symptomatic improvement already after a few days or even hours after starting the medication. This immediate, expectation-based effect is in fact a placebo effect.

This raises an interesting question, if the patient experiences less depression, isn't that what ultimately counts?

It appears that doctors often take advantage of this effect.

The observation that patients get better, even before a biochemical effect can be detected, has lead many physicians to prescribe these drugs in doses that are too small to even have a significant biochemical effect. They are in fact prescribing active drugs as partial, or impure placebos.
Expectations and Effectiveness of Older Drugs
The expectation of improved results from newer drugs can explain why the effectiveness of a drug seems to wear off the longer drug has been in the market. It would make sense to rethink how we describe drugs to patients. Constrained by time limits for patient visits and capped advertising budgets, it is tempting to rely on shortcuts, such as “new = better”, but it does make sense to differentiate more to preserve the full arsenal of treatment options. For example, an older drug could be described as “effective with a 20 year track record of safe use in patient care”.

Try to describe the benefits of drugs in ways that do not impair the effectiveness of older drugs.

Expecting and Delivering Performance
As part of the word unscramble performance study described in the chapter “Price works” people were
asked to rate their expectation about the drink's ability to enhance their performance. Their expectation was a good predictor of their actual performance. The more performance boost people expected from the drink, the more words they were able to unscramble. (Shiv 2005)

**Conditioning**

Conditioning relies on repeated training of body and mind to produce an automated response. In contrast to expectations, conditioning mediates its effect without any explanation. In clinical practice, expectation and conditioning often work together. While expectations start with a patient's conscious understanding of treatment, conditioning takes place at a subliminal level and directly reaches ‘autonomous’ body functions.

*It is possible to achieve a conditioned response while the subject is not aware of what to expect.*
There are a number of clinical studies that looked at conditioning and the placebo effect. Here is how these studies are generally designed:

- **Phase 1:** participants receive an active substance together with a placebo. This conditioning phase lasts a few days to several weeks during which the body responds to the active substance while the placebo is present.

- **Phase 2:** participants only receive the placebo. Researchers observe if the placebo by itself can now trigger the response.

Conditioning experiments in animals are common. One of them came to fame: Pavlov's Dog. For those who are not familiar with that experiment: Ivan Pavlov, a physiologist, who later received the Nobel price for his work in Conditioning, observed that his dog was salivating when feeding. Pavlov thought up an experiment and started to ring a bell each time before feeding the dog. After several weeks, simply the sound of the bell itself was enough to make the dog salivate.
Conditioned Response of the Immune System

Here is an interesting experiment that looked at placebo responses and conditioning: In a double-blind study (Goebel, 2002), healthy participants were given cyclosporin, which is a drug used to suppress the immune system. During the first week of the study, the participants were given a flavored drink that contained cyclosporine. While the participants did not receive an explanation about cyclosporin and its effects, the researchers were able to measure a suppression of the immune system. During the following week, the same participants were given the flavored drink, this time without cyclosporin, and the immune suppression still happened. The drink worked in fact as a placebo.

Cyclosporine

Cyclosporine occurs in nature, it is a molecule produced by a type of fungi. It is widely used as a medication to prevent organ transplant rejection by
suppressing the immune system. If a person takes cyclosporin, it works by interacting with a protein of the body (cyclophilin) that in turn then de-active an enzyme (calcineurin). When not deactivated, Calcineurin has the role of signaling to cytotoxic T-cells if there is invading material in the body. In a nutshell,

* Cyclosporin intercepts the “We are under attack.” messages.

Did the placebo response use the cyclosporin pathway or was placebo-induced immune suppression mediated in other ways? We'll look more into pathways in the chapter “The Mind works on the body”. For now, let's just note that:

* Placebo conditioning can reach 'autonomous' body function.
Conditioning and Asthma

Another study that investigates conditioned responses and placebo was conducted in patients with asthma: Asthma is usually treated with bronchodilator medications that are dispensed through inhalers. Howard Brody describes a study in his book “The Placebo Response: How You Can Release the Body's Inner Pharmacy for Better Health” that looked at conditioning patients to use asthma inhalers paired with the aroma of vanilla. Not unexpectedly the aroma of vanilla alone could be demonstrated to relax the constricted airways (Brody, 2000).

Meaning

Moerman and Jonas, (2002) introduced the term Meaning Response. They emphasize that the way a doctor tells a story about the illness provides meaning that directs the body with self healing. I agree with the importance of meaning. In my
opinion meaning plays two important roles for placebo responses:

- Meaning directs the placebo response
- Meaning nurtures expectations

However, there are more elements at play in addition to meaning and expectations. As we learned in this chapter, a conditioned placebo response is possible even without the use of meaningful story. And, as we will see in the following chapter, there is another important key for hacking the mind: Appeal. I am surprised this topic has not been investigated so far, and I propose to research the importance of Appeal for the effective design of placebo experience.

Here are some interesting studies that explore how Meaning affects the placebo treatment result.

*Meaning and localized pain relief*

Montgomery and Kirsch (1996) showed that placebos do not only act to alleviate general anxiety
or reduce pain overall, but the placebo experience can be focused to produce specific effects. Their study involved university students who were made to believe that an experimental drug, a local anesthetic cream named “Triviacane” was being tested. Triviacane was in fact a pure placebo formulated for this study. Students would receive electroshocks on their fingers. Triviacane reduced the pain experienced in only those fingers that were treated with the cream. This effect was observed when all fingers were shocked at the same time or in sequence. Meaning produced a very specific placebo effect.

*Meaning directs specific placebo effects.*

*Meaning modulates placebo strength.*

In a different study (Price and Soerensen, 2002) participants were made to believe that a strong and weak “anesthetic” creams were applied to their skin before painful stimuli (electric shocks) were given.
Even though all creams were pure placebo and had no active ingredients, the subjects responded differently to the electric shocks. While the intensity of electric shocks remained unchanged, the “strength” of the cream modulated the intensity of pain experienced.

**Appeal**
I have found no literature on this, but after a lifelong interest in this topic and intense review of the underlying research, it became clear to me that the appeal of a placebo experience is a key factor in three ways: Appeal …

- … opens our mind to engage in an experience
- … modulates the intensity of experiencing
- … increases strength and duration of effect

The reason why appeal likely matters, is imbedded in the term “placebo experience” which hints at the contributing factors that produce the placebo effect. Borrowing from software development, the overall experience a user has with a software application is critical for how satisfied the user will be with using
the software. Today, software needs to be not just usable and useful, but also appealing. For example, empowered mobile app users have the freedom today to choose from hundreds of solutions that meet their needs. Consumer markets reveal a strong preference for appealing software that is a pleasure to use. Unfortunately, healthcare experiences, and this does not only apply to healthcare software, were not designed to appeal to consumers, but rather require a captive audience (the patients) to endure what works for providers and payors. It appears to me that our current health care design reveals a preference for treating patients over caring for patients. And, given the administrative stress and financial anguish that comes with providing and receiving care, we definitely do not have a care design that is conducive to self-healing.

Related to placebo experience design:
Designing modern healthcare experiences with more appeal is likely to boost placebo effects.

Summing up on how placebo experience affects the mind:

Designing a highly effective placebo experience should take Expectation, Conditioning, Meaning and Appeal into account.

**Neurobiological pathways link mind and body.**

In the previous chapters we learned that placebos work. We then looked into how placebos affect the mind. Now, we'll explore how the mind and body affect each other.

When we think about how the mind can help reduce symptoms we immediately associate psychological mechanisms, such an alleviation of anxiety in response to a soothing voice. Scientific results suggest that there are several mechanism available
that carry a specific placebo effect to targeted areas of the body. This involves mind-body interactions that are outside our conscious control.

*Placebo experience design is a hack into mind-body interactions that are usually outside of conscious control.*

In my experience I found that it comes with some difficulty to accept that our mind and body interact without us being aware of it. But in fact, this happens all the time - we are just not aware of it.

*How amazing our mind-body interaction really is*  
It is simply seems a miracle that our mind can form a thought such as 'I am going to lift my left arm' and then the arm actually moves or how seeing the image of a special person in our life can spread feelings of love, happiness and relaxation throughout the entire body. Usually we don't question the feasibility of such truly amazing mind-
body interactions at all. They happen all the time and are familiar to us. We feel we understand what is going on and trust that there must be a mechanism behind all this that can be explained. Our current level of understanding is still very limited though. When I lift my arm I think I know what is going on, but in fact neither I nor cutting edge science can explain how my mind forms the thought to lift my arm and how that thought gets translated into action. We understand bits and pieces of the process. For example, we can see which areas of the brain are active when we move our hand, we can see the nerves that connect the brain and the arm muscles, we can measure electric nerve pulses that get send from the brain to the muscles, we can observe the arm muscles contracting. However, this is far from truly understanding how the words 'lift your left arm' acquire meaning in our mind and then get transformed into a nerve pulse that moves the arm.
Placebo Effect – thinking to move my hand and the hand moves.

When we see a person we love, our reaction makes sense to us, yet we don't in fact understand how the image of a person is processed by our mind to result in altered blood hormone levels and brain neurotransmitters.

Placebo Effect – seeing a loved person and feeling love.

Now, the situation with the medical placebo-effect is just like that, with the important difference that most of us learned about the placebo-effect without directly experiencing it with awareness yet. In other words,

Placebo Effects point to blind spots in our awareness of mind-body interaction.
3rd Generation placebos will change that. As we increase our awareness of placebo experiences they will start to feel more authentic and we will be able to engage these experiences as needed. In the meantime it helps to understand that,

*Placebo responses use the same bio-molecular pathways that connect mind and body when we perceive pain, love, happiness, or fatigue.*

*Take a 3rd Generation Placebo now or keep reading*
Recent placebo-focused studies looked more into bio-molecular pathways that underlie placebo responses. These mechanisms can help explain how placebos via the mind have an effect on the body that results in self-healing, symptom relief, and performance enhancement. For example,

- opioid pathways - pain relief
dopamine pathways - concentration and muscle control
• cytokine pathways - immune system response
• serotonin pathways - depression and anxiety

There is not a complete understanding yet of how all pathways work together. Ideally one would want a complete understanding how genetics, nutrition, environment, medications and many other factors interact at the bio-molecular level. We are far from that today. However, we are able to measure the activity of some of these pathways and are able to influence these pathways. In fact, most pharmaceutical drugs are bio-molecular hacks that work without a complete understanding of the entire system they are inserted into. Typically pharmaceuticals increase or decrease the presence of key components of the pathways mentioned. For example, many anti-depressants increase the availability of serotonin. That's it. The drug's effect is measured in two ways:

• did serotonin increase?
• does the patient feel better?

It is amazing that the effect of placebos can be assessed at the bio-molecular level.

*List of Body-produced Drugs that Appear to be Accessible for Placebo Hacks*

For those who are interested to learn more about bio-molecular pathways, here is a list of examples of studies that investigated mind-body interactions (Finniss, 2010):

**Endorphins**

The brain itself can release opioids (endorphins) that alleviate pain and increase a feeling of well-being. Endorphins are similar to the drug morphine. There are at least two areas of the brain that can release endorphins: the pituitary gland and the hypothalamus. Endorphins are usually released in response to

• experiencing pain
- physical exercise
- eating spicy foods

As we learned in the chapter “Placebos Work”, Naloxone is a substance that blocks opioid receptors in the brain. Naloxone is able to prevent opioid drugs binding to the receptor but also prevents placebos from providing pain relief (Levine 1978). This and similar other experiments strongly suggest that a placebo experience designed for pain relief is passed on from the mind to the body via opioid pathways, resulting in an increase of endorphins which results in pain relief.

**Dopamine**
Dopamine is a neurotransmitter that is released by the body to transmit signals between nerve cells. Different neurotransmitters have different roles, for example, dopamine facilitates motor function. Parkinson's disease is a condition characterized by a disorder in gait, tremors. Research has convincingly
demonstrated that these motor disorders are due to a significant reduction in brain dopamine levels. Researchers (Bendetti, 2005) who reviewed a large number of studies on placebo and neurobiological pathways, found that patients with Parkinson’s disease tend to respond well to placebo. Several studies support the hypotheses that a placebo response in these patients seems to affect the dopamine system in the brain, no matter if conscious expectation and or subliminal conditioning are involved. One study (De la Fuente-Fernandez, 2001) found that administration of a placebo to Parkinson's patients who were told that the drug could treat their condition by increasing the brain's dopamine levels. Positron Emission Tomography (PET) scanning was then able to detect changes in the brain activity that are typically seen in response to the stimulation from dopamine. This was the first study to show that
placebo causes a specific change in brain activity
well-being increased when the placebo was taken with the expectation to increase dopamine levels

Serotonin
One explanation for depression is that it is caused by a neurotransmitter deficiency in the brain. Serotonin is a neurotransmitter of particular importance. The drug Prozac, and related drugs are serotonin re-uptake inhibitors. They increase the availability of serotonin in the brain and to restore a sense of well-being. Researchers (Leuchter, 2002) used PET scanning and found that treatment of depression with placebo shows metabolic activity in the brain that is similar to the effect of Prozac treatment. Other serotonin-mediated mechanisms were described in anxiety disorders, which tend to respond very well to placebo treatment. It appears that a patient's genetic make-up affects how well
anxiety will respond to placebo. This was shown in a study that enrolled patients with social anxiety disorder (Furmark, 2008). A typical treatment for this type of anxiety disorder includes cognitive behavioral therapy or the use of the drug Citalopram which restores brain functions associated with well-being. In the study, patients who experienced a reduction in their symptoms also showed reduced stressed-related brain activity, as observed in PET scanning. Genetic analysis showed that the presence of certain gene variants could predict if a patient suffering from anxiety was likely to respond to placebo. We will look deeper into who responds to placebo in the chapter “How to take placebos”.

Cytokines
Cytokines play a key role in connecting the central nervous system and the immune system. They help coordinate immune responses throughout the entire body. So far several types of cytokines have been identified, a prime example is
Interferon
If a cell is infected by a virus, interferon is released. Interferon 'interferes' directly with virus replication. Interferon also leads to a cell-surface change of the infected cell so that it becomes easier for the immune system to detect the infected cell. An increase of Interferon in the bloodstream then causes “flu-like symptoms” throughout the body.

Cytokines are the messengers in a very complex system.
So far dozen of cytokines with very specific roles have been identified. When it comes to the messenger role of cytokines, they are very similar to hormones, with the main difference that hormones are only secreted by specific glands. For example, insulin is released only by the pancreas, whereas cytokines can be made by many different cells of the body, with all of these cells regulating each other in the process. In other words, cytokines are
the messengers in a truly complex system that we are only beginning to understand.

*The brain releases and detects cytokines*

The brain can produce and detect most types of cytokines. Different brain cells are described that have receptors to detect and quantify different cytokines (Kronfol, 2000). Studies have shown that emotional stress can cause brain cells to secrete cytokines, and physical stress changes cytokine levels within the body. This is all work in progress: Kronfol investigates several mechanisms how cytokines connect brain and body. For now let's just remember that,

*Cytokines probably play a role in placebo responses that affect symptoms, behavior, and the immune system.*
Cortisol
Together with endorphins, neurotransmitters, and cytokines, hormones are another group of molecules that are likely to mediate placebo responses. Cortisol is a hormone. The hypothalamus, a part of the brain, controls the release of Cortisol. For example, cortisol is released in stressful situations and mediates several effects on the body, such as
a) suppression of the immune system
b) increase in blood sugar

Benedetti (2003) found that,

*Placebo can produce changes in cortisol levels.*

In summary, this chapter showed that there are several neurobiological pathways available for a placebo to effectively influence the mind-body interaction.
The mind-body is a complex interconnected system. It is beyond our understanding how a change in individual pathways is influencing the whole system.

Rather than designing placebo experience that is focused on a specific bioactive substance, I suggest to target the system level to holistically influence all the above pathways in a safe way, such as

a) Get back pain relief
b) Relief sadness
c) Increase mental focus

The goal of placebo experience design is to send our mind-body system a meaningful message of what we expect.

Once this message arrives, we can trust that our mind and body will work together via the known and unknown neurobiological pathways to produce a beneficial placebo response.
It is not necessary to understand these bio-
molecular pathways, but knowing that these pathways exist can open up our mind to giving placebos a try.

_Hacking into a Complex System: The Mind-Body_

The multitude of neurobiological pathways, reveal that our mind and body form a highly complex interacting system.

At this time, science is not even close to be able to accurately model this intricate system from its components given the abundance of genes, bio-
molecular reactions, and neural interconnections. However, an entire science has emerged over the last decades analyses and models complex systems top down. Instead of mapping cause and reaction at a elemental level, complex systems theory looks at
the overall behavior of the entire system. There is no contradiction between the two approaches, they are just different ways of looking at the same thing. An important concept for complex system theory is that of a system state. For our purpose, think about a system state of Well-being or a state of Sickness. Both states are stable, but state transitions do occur and we can try to predict when they will occur, or try to help the system switch into desired states. Questions that are good candidates to be answered with complex systems approach are, for example:

How can we stabilize desired states?

Can we help the system memorize and recall desired states?

Are there specific triggers that initiate a transition to a desired state?

Using the complex systems thinking, one role for placebos can be described as such:
Placebos might facilitate the mind-body system with memorizing and recalling desired states of well-being.

For how this could be done, please refer to the chapter “The Patient’s Placebo Manual”

Chapter V

Placebo Manual for Patients

When does it make sense to try placebo?
It makes sense to try placebo in addition to regular treatment. The honest placebo study with IBS patients, described in the chapter “Placebos Work”, provided regular treatment to all participants. While patients got better on average, from the point of view of a single patient any of following three outcomes was possible:
1. Symptoms get better.
2. Symptoms stay about the same.
3. Symptoms get worse.
When a 3rd generation placebo was added to regular treatment, for an individual patient this meant to widen the range of possible positive outcomes. Here is a table showing outcomes from a single patient's point of view:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes by patient</th>
<th>Regular Treatment alone</th>
<th>Regular Treatment + 3rd Gen Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient a)</td>
<td>Better</td>
<td>Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient b)</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Better or Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient c)</td>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>Better or Same or Worse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A patient who would have stayed the same with regular treatment (b) gained the option to stay the same or to get better by adding placebo. A patient who would have gotten worse with regular treatment alone (c) gained the options of staying the same or getting better.

*When adding pure placebo to regular treatment we increase the probability of getting better.*
It also makes sense to try placebo in some situations when medical treatment is not needed. Here is a scenario:

You are really bothered by feeling fatigued and decide to see your doctor. Your doctor recognizes your symptoms, and gives you a diagnosis, but feels it is too soon to prescribe a medication. You both agree to give yourself more time and observe how you are doing until the next visit. Now, there are basically three outcomes that can happen by just waiting and doing nothing:

1. Fatigue gets better.
2. Fatigue stays about the same.
3. Fatigue gets worse.

Here is a table of “Do Nothing” scenarios without and with the addition of a 3rd Generation placebo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Do Nothing</th>
<th>3rd Gen Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient a)</td>
<td>Better</td>
<td>Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient b)</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Better or Same</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adding a placebo during a watchful waiting period opens more options for the patient to get better while avoiding negative side-effects from active ingredients.

To help with fatigue you might be considering energy drinks, which come with side effects, but why not engage in a 3rd Generation placebo-experience that is designed for mental focus, sensory clarity, and concentration power?

*Keep it safe.*

*Do not use placebos to replace prescribed treatment.*

As a general rule, do not use placebos to delay or replace medical treatment that has been prescribed to you. This situation is similar to taking dietary supplements: Vitamin C is possibly beneficial for
the immune system, but you would not use it to replace chemotherapy.

*Use a pure placebo.*

If you want to try out a placebo experience, avoid side-effects from active ingredients and make sure to take a pure placebo. Take one that is designed to maximize the placebo effect. I created the Zeebo brand exactly for that purpose.

*Keep the placebo experience positive.*

Taking placebos is about sending a beneficial message into our mind-body system. It's a system hack that we design to do no harm. As we have seen in previous chapters, the meaning we give to a placebo-experience and what we expect from the placebo matters. So, be creative and constructive with your placebo experience. I'll offer up some ideas in the next chapters.
**Who is likely to respond to placebo?**
Quite frankly, we cannot predict who will respond to placebo. Placebo response rates in clinical studies can be as high as 50%. Such a response rate means that one out of two people who took a pure placebo had a beneficial response. So far it has not been possible to predict which patients are more or less likely to respond to placebo. All we know is that some people respond and some don't. So, if you are curious,

*The only way to find out if you respond to placebo is to try it.*

**What about demographics and personality traits?**
Initially researchers hypothesized that demographic or personality characteristics would allow them to predict who would be more likely to respond to placebo. For example, they looked into these factors: *socio-demographic* such as gender, status,
religious background, ethnicity, intelligence, or personality traits such as impulsiveness, maturity, dependent personality, hypochondriac tendencies, obsessive-compulsive personality. However, scientists (Shapiro, 1997) have found that after examining more than 700 patients who were given placebo in clinic, neither personality traits nor demographic characteristics had any significant relationship with how patients responded to placebo. A recent study reported a possible correlation between a patient’s genome and their likelihood to respond to placebo given for relieving anxiety. There is more to come in that field. For now, the way to find out if a placebo works for you is to try it out.

**What is a placebo response?**
Clinical studies take great care in defining what counts as a placebo response. A study participant has a placebo response when the symptom improvement after taking placebo affects the same endpoint that was set for the actual drug. For
example, in a specific study an endpoint might be defined as “Within 30 minutes of treatment, the patient needs to experience at least a 2 point pain reduction on a (0 – 10) pain scale”. Using such a precise definition the result is binary, the patient either has a placebo response or not.

*How to Design your Placebo Experience*

Six steps to designing your placebo taking experience:

1. Define the focus of your placebo design.
2. Identify the approach to use.
3. Formulate your placebo message.
4. Choose a 3rd Generation placebo.
5. Describe when and how to take the placebo.
6. Find a way to keep track of you experience.

Example for designing your placebo taking experience:

- Focus: Lower Back Pain
- Approach: Symptom Relief
• Message: “I am taking this pill for short-term relief from lower back pain.”
• Placebo: Zeebo RELIEF
• Take: once per day and as needed
• Tracking: Zeebo RELIEF Tracking app

*How to find out if you respond to placebo.*

Go through with taking the placebo
• Track your symptoms
• Take the placebo pill
• Track if your symptoms get better
• Repeat the steps above several times
• Look back and assess your experience
• Revisit the design stage, make changes as needed.

*How to track your experience*
You can track your placebo experience in any way. A paper symptom diary works fine. Capture how you feel before and after taking placebo. If you are looking for short-term symptom relief, such as headache relief, track your symptom shortly before taking the placebo and then again at times that matter to you, for example at 3, 10, and 20 minutes after taking the placebo. You may be looking to reduce the intensity of a symptom or to shorten the symptom duration.

For long term-effects you may be more interested in reducing the average intensity of symptoms over the course of days or weeks, or you might try to lower the maximum intensity of symptoms, for example, avoid breakthrough pain.

If you are interested in conducting different types of analyses for the same data tracked and if you prefer to work with graphs, it is advisable to use a symptom tracking app. For short term symptom relief we built the free mobile app Zeebo RELIEF.
To “track anything” you might want to look at one of the apps I made, such as TracknShare for iPhone.

Examples of relevant results depending on situation:

*Short term symptom relief*
  
  - Shorten symptom duration
  - Reduce symptom intensity

*Long term effects*
  
  - Reduce symptom averages
  - Manage maximum and minimum values
  - Reduce frequency of events

**Top 5 Ideas for Using Placebos**

Feel free to use this list for your blog, book, or journal article. We appreciate if you reference this book.

1. **Symptom Relief**
   
   Get relief from bothersome symptoms.

2. **Performance enhancement**
   
   Increase your ability to function.
3. Permit self-healing
Allow your body to heal in times of stress.

4. Reduce drug side-effects
Add placebo to reduce side-effects from prescription drugs.

5. Facilitate phasing out therapy
Try placebo to support the planned weaning off drugs. Work with your doctor.
Chapter VI

Placebo Manual for Care Providers

Patients are open to placebos.
While there is a lot of debate and controversy among doctors and researchers about the ethical use of placebos in medical practice, recent surveys show that many physicians in the US, as well as in other countries, would like to prescribe placebos to their patients. Very few though openly discuss the use placebo with their patients. See chapter “Placebos Work”.

Issues raised against the use of placebos include
• Possible deception violating patient trust
• Concerns about the possible side effects
• Perceived issues with the compatibility of placebo treatments with evidence-based medicine

Negative pharmacological effects were associated with some drug-based impure “placebos” (such as
antibiotics), which might outweigh the benefits of their use.

In 2006, the American Medical Association (AMA) declared a new ethics provision that categorically prohibits physicians from using placebos in a deceptive way.

However, some physicians argue that placebo treatments may be justified when they are effective, especially when patients are willing to receive them. Current use of placebo largely prescribes remedies with active ingredients for other purposes than originally intended and approved for. Pure placebos offer an alternative that avoids side-effects from active ingredients. When it comes to Evidence-Based medicine, the current design of FDA trials is still based on the paradigm that “placebos do nothing”. However, as we saw in previous chapters, there is good evidence
that placebos are efficacious and work better than no treatment.

It is interesting to note, that in most of these discussions, patients' attitudes towards placebo treatments have not been considered. It may therefore come as a bit of a surprise to find out that in a recent survey (Hull, 2013) of a large group of patients, close to 80% of the respondents were open to the concept of placebo treatments, judging that it is acceptable for doctors to recommend placebo treatments, depending on

- Purpose of the treatment
- Doctor’s confidence in the benefits of the treatment
- Open information sharing with the patient

Given various scenarios on whether placebo treatments will be acceptable to the patients in certain situations, many respondents indicated that they were willing to try placebo treatments. These
findings support similar trends reported in previous surveys conducted in other countries, suggesting that,

*There is a trend among patients to view placebos more positively.*

**More Care Options**

*Alleviate Side-effects from Medical Treatment*  
Some physicians find that there is an increase in treatment effect by adding a placebo to a patient's therapeutic regimen. For instance, by adding placebo to a cancer patient's treatment, side effects of chemotherapy may be reduced.

*Protect the Efficacy of Antibiotics*  
Antibiotics are one of the overprescribed drugs in the market. Indiscriminate use of antibacterial medications to treat viral diseases can lead to increased resistance of microbes to antibiotics and expose the patients to unwanted side effects. Some
doctors are tempted to prescribe these drugs to patients because they know patients are expecting to receive a prescription for their ailments. Even when doctors think that increasing hydration and rest should be the preferred treatment for a patient to recover, they often feel that the patient will not be satisfied with such an outcome of the visit. In fact, doctors admit they have prescribed medication-based “placebos” in order to maintain their self-image as a reliable and professional physician. The widespread prescription of antibiotics as impure placebos for treating viral infections like colds and flu therefore poses societal risks such as increasing drug resistances. However, prescribing open label, pure placebo, can prevent this situation. Many patients are now embracing the usefulness of placebos in the treatment of various diseases, after educating themselves on the dangers of overusing antibiotics.
Treating patients with chronic conditions often poses a dilemma to doctors who are concerned with long term side-effects of drugs used in their treatment. One way to deal with this problem is to combine two or more treatments that work synergistically to reduce the required dose of each drug. While the desired effect is achieved, the dreaded side effects of each drug are reduced. This is an accepted practice physicians often use especially when prescribing painkillers for patients with chronic pain, for example. Instead of giving a full dose of an opioid or NSAID, they may give the patient half the dose of this drug paired with acetaminophen, another analgesic with fewer side effects.

A similar effect may be achieved by pairing an active drug with a placebo. As we saw in chapter “Placebo Works”, in a study (Sandler, 2010) involving 70 children with attention-deficit
hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) who were being treated with stimulant medications demonstrated that pairing placebos with these drugs can elicit a placebo response that allows effective treatment on just half their optimal drug dose. Psychostimulant drugs such as Adderall, Concerta and Ritalin are effective in treating symptoms of ADHD, but they can cause side effects such as jitters, headaches, sleep problems, stomach upset and weight loss. Some children do not respond to these medications at all. Some experts are concerned with their long-term use, and various strategies, such as modifying drug dosage and schedules of administration are done to reduce their risks. The study showed that conditioned placebo dose reduction may help treat patients using lower doses of their usual medications. The procedure involved daily pairing of a reduced dose of the drug with an open label placebo capsule (stimulant + placebo). Responses were compared in ADHD children who were given a full dose of the drug with no placebo and children
who received half the dose of their drug with no placebo. The results were very encouraging. Most participants in the stimulant + placebo group remained stable during treatment, whereas most children who received the reduced drug dose and no placebo deteriorated. Furthermore, there was no difference in the control of ADHD symptoms between children who received a full dose of stimulant drug and those who received stimulant + placebo. The best thing was that those who received less drug and placebo also experienced the least side effects.

**Avoid Side-effects**

Health experts who are skeptical about the use of placebos in medical practice argue that the use of medicine based placebos exposes patients to possible side effects from active ingredients. For example, when physicians prescribe antibiotics to patients with a viral disease to make them feel better, they may run the risk of eliciting a drug
allergy, which could prove to have worse effects than the viral disease itself. A solution lies in the use of pure, open placebos. In this way, there is little to no risk of exposing patients to biochemical side effects and the healing effect from open placebo use is still available.

Mitigate Risk from Lost to Follow-up
Patients who need long-term care do not always present themselves for follow-ups, especially when they feel better. Many patients also fail to report drug side effects or to come back for laboratory exams to evaluate the effects of treatments. This can put them at risk for inadequate or an insufficient treatment. On the other hand, when used appropriately, pure placebos can make care safer, since there would be no downside in lack of follow-up to check dosage and side effects. If the placebo is insufficient to relieve the patient's condition, the patient will come back and other treatments may be used. But if it works and the
patient feels better, then neither the doctor nor the patient has to worry about side effects. That being said, there are more issues buried into the low follow-up or no-show rate in chronic patients. One of the core levers to improve the overall health of our patient population is tied to the question:

*How we can improve continuity of care?*

This question deserves more attention that we cannot cover in this book, but may be we can learn from 3rd generation placebo offered in combination with a symptom tracking application. As mentioned, I created Zeebo to showcase the integrated delivery of placebo.

**Weaning-off drugs**

Patients with chronic conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, asthma, chronic depression and some pain syndromes usually receive medications that are maintained for long periods. Although these medications may be indicated, prolonged use increases patients' risk for side effects, tolerance,
dependency, and addiction to the drugs. Some patients, such as diabetic individuals, may want to reduce their often large number of medications and instead, concentrate on doing some lifestyle changes to better control their blood sugar levels (Dansinger, 2010). Some parents are concerned about their children being dependent on asthma medications. Doctors often warn these patients not to stop their medications abruptly because this may result in acute withdrawal symptoms. Instead, patients are taught to wean off their medications by gradually reducing doses over long periods of time while monitoring their responses. One possible way to approach this issue is to offer the patient alternative therapies such as trigger point injections, physical therapy, or acupuncture (Argoff, 2010). Others substitute medications with similar but longer-acting effects, such as methadone (an opioid), than the original drug, such as morphine or fentanyl (also opioids). However, these processes can take several weeks or months to work and
patients may not be compliant because of persistent side effects or loss of efficacy. The possible role of placebos in weaning off patients from opioid drugs has been suggested. In a study (Barens, 2006), which evaluated the effects of two treatments in weaning off critically-injured children treated with continuous opioid infusion at an average of three weeks. The children were initially shifted from intravenous fentanyl or morphine to enteral methadone. The children were weaned in one of two ways. The first treatment involved reducing the original dose of methadone by 20% each day, over five days, followed by treatment for another five days using placebo only. The other children were weaned using methadone reduced by 10% of its original dose each day, over ten days. Results showed that there was no significant difference between the two weaning groups. Similar findings were shown in another study (Wanzuita, 2012) involving adult patients who were kept on mechanical ventilators for at least five
days, receiving a fentanyl infusions. This time, enteral methadone followed by placebo shortened the time needed to wean off patients from mechanical ventilation and opioids compared to simply reducing the dose of fentanyl.

**Customize Care**
Honest placebos offer doctors another option to provide more personalized care. Their availability can be openly discussed with the patient, and with the agreement of the patient offer a safe way to enhance and customize treatment as described in previous chapters. Placebos can

- benefit the patient during waiting periods
- help enhance standard treatment
- alleviate side-effects from treatment
- facilitate the weaning off drugs
More Structured Care

Today
Our current management for treating patients is somewhat textbook style. Patients usually initiate the care process by presenting with a complaint or a symptom that is bothersome. Doctors make a thorough assessment of the patient with history taking, physical examination and laboratory tests. Then, basing on these findings, the patient is matched with a diagnosis, which is then matched with a suitable treatment regimen that the doctor prescribes to the patient. The patient is asked to comply with treatment and to schedule follow-up appointments, so that in the case of side effects, adverse events, or ineffective treatments, a “Second Line” treatment or other options can be pursued. Over the course of this process the patient experiences either an improvement or deterioration of health.
In practice, this process does not work too well. Continuity of care often breaks down. When
patients do present for a follow up appointment, a lack of analyzable data makes it typically difficult to discern what effect the treatment had on the patient's health status.

_Wish-list for Placebo-use in Regular Care_

In contrast to current management strategies of most clinicians, we propose to include patient-reported symptom reports and treatment preferences right at the beginning of the evaluation process. To outline this approach:

At the initial visit, during the Intake ask the patient to

- List recent symptoms
- Assess the severity of each symptom
- Check if there is interest in different treatment approaches, such as placebo treatment

During the visit

- Educate the patient about their innate symptom relief and self-healing power.
• Discuss placebo use, if the patient is interested.
• Agree on an Individual Treatment and Tracking Plan.
  ◦ Jointly decide on a treatment approach.
  ◦ Add a pure placebo to the treatment, if desired.
  ◦ Decide on a method for tracking outcomes.
  ◦ Agree on a follow-up plan.

Follow-up visits
• Ask the patient to rate their symptoms.
• Analyze longitudinal self reported data.
• Remind the patient of their own symptom relief and self-healing power.
• Solicit feedback on placebo experience, if applicable.
• Adjust the treatment plan, if needed.

The Doctor's Placebo Effect.
In 2000, a three-day workshop was organized by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in cooperation with the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) and the National
Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) to explore the potential clinical applications of the “placebo effect.” Although the placebo response was dismissed by some participants as an imaginary phenomenon, its standard use in clinical trials and potential medical benefit compelled researchers from various disciplines to develop recommendations on how to best use placebos. While placebo responses are difficult to predict, doctors can learn how to solicit a placebo response by focusing on the psychological and behavioral aspects of the doctor-patient relationship.

One study showed that aside from providing the patient with positive information about their illness or treatment, doctors enhance the patient's expectations by providing support and reassurance resulting significantly better health outcomes (Di Blasi, 2001).
**Tone of physician feedback**

The first principle in soliciting a placebo response is to keep giving the patient a positive feedback. In one study involving 200 patients (Thomas, 1987) who presented with symptoms but no abnormal physical findings, the effects of “positive manner” of the doctor-patient interaction were compared with a “non-positive interaction,” both with either no treatment or placebo treatment. The results after two weeks showed that patients who had a positive interaction significantly improved compared to those who had a neutral or negative interaction, irrespective of the treatment. A positive interaction may include listening carefully to a patient's narration, taking their problem seriously, explaining the condition clearly, elicit the patient's social circumstances. This type of communication has been reported to improve patients' overall satisfaction more than could be explained by the actual relief of their symptoms (Shaw, 2005).
Frequency of visits
In the same study, Thomas and his colleagues showed that the time and attention given to a patient are perhaps the most important factors that determine placebo response. In particular, it was demonstrated that the number of visits with their doctors was predictive of a positive therapeutic effect, as shown by the improvement of symptoms, even in the absence of any treatment or intervention.

It has been shown that Continuity of Care is one of the pillars of family medicine and patients who are followed by their physicians for at least two years are more likely to be satisfied with their care.

Consultation time
In their time management physicians have to straddle between patient contact, documentation and administrative duties. Studies show that diminished doctor-patient time may reduce preventive care and decrease patient satisfaction. Rushed patient visits
can also lead to inappropriate prescribing and referring behaviors and may increase the risk of malpractice claims.

One study (Lin, 2001) that analyzed almost 1,500 visits to 16 primary care physicians showed that patients who spent more time with their doctors were more satisfied with their care and were more likely to experience improvements in symptoms. It was also noted that patients who perceived themselves to be in poor health and who were worried about their health expected to spend more time with their doctors. However, studies indicate that family doctors spend only an average of 9 to 18 minutes with patients per visit while internists spend about 15 to 24 minutes per visit. Studies also show that the duration of doctor visits have declined form 29 minutes to 17 for new patients while return visit duration decreased from 19 to 16 minutes (Watanabe, 1998).
Impression of physician

Studies also show that a caregiver's attitudes can influence the type of response a patient exhibits towards his treatments. It has been demonstrated that patients are more likely to develop a positive therapeutic response when they view their doctors as experienced, optimistic and at the same time, competent. Patients also regard a doctor's appearance as important in their satisfaction, preferring doctors in a semiformal attire and a smile over doctors in a white coat, a formal suit, jeans or casual dress (Lill, 2005). Most patients also prefer to be called by their first names.

Some of the therapeutic behaviors of physicians that may promote placebo effects (Brody, 1997) include empathy, skillful listening, use of appropriate touch, attunement of gaze, proximity, a welcoming appearance, and positive communication style. Physicians who exercise less dominance and encourage patients to express their concerns and expectations often have patients who are more
satisfied with their visits and who are more likely to follow their advice (Cecil, 1997). In addition, patients prefer physicians who recognize that their mental and social well being are just as important as their physical condition (Sherbourne, 1999).

Eliciting Expectations
A literature review (Rao, 2000) also suggests that it is important for physicians to spend more time and effort in trying to elicit patient expectations towards their treatment. When these expectations are met, the patient's level of satisfaction is higher. On the other hand, when patients feel that their need to know or to voice a desire is unmet, their dissatisfaction tends to increase which is often has a negative effect on their symptoms. Patient attitudes vary with age, socioeconomic status, and health status amongst others, which will affect the satisfaction with the encounter. In general, patients who are worried, feel depressed, hopeless or have a psychiatric condition such as schizophrenia, or post-
traumatic stress disorder are less satisfied with their care. Patients who are suffering from chronic illnesses are also more likely to experience dissatisfaction with their care but when communication and coordination of their care are increased, their levels of satisfaction improve (Thiedke, 2007).

Office environment
Considering all these factors, physicians, through their behavior, can create an environment that will be conducive to eliciting a placebo response in many patients. Borrowing a conceptual framework called User Experience from software design, it appears that the patient experience during a visit could be increased by designing an overall Patient Experience that resonates and reinforces placebo effects. Patient Experience design elements that are good candidates to be considered for maximizing placebo effects are:

- Welcoming interior design
• Friendliness and empathy of staff
• Anticipate patient questions and needs
• Efficient workflow
• Good design of tools, materials used by the patient

In short, borrowing principles from good software design:

*Make patients feel smart, welcome and empowered.*

**Review your use of placebos.**

What are your placebo ethics?

“Do not deceive”, is the most important aspect of using placebos if doctors want their patients to trust them completely with their care. Although placebos have been used deceptively by doctors with the good intention of eliciting beneficial effects for their patients, they risk losing these benefits when patients discover they were betrayed and treated as
if they were gullible or not smart enough to detect the ruse.

*Question prescribing active drugs as placebo.* Bok also warns against prescribing active drugs as placebos and advocates using inert placebos instead. Doctors must consider the cost and biochemical side effects of an active drug that is being used as placebo. As discussed in the previous chapters, examples of active drugs that are sometimes used as placebos include antibiotics, pain relievers, herbal supplements, substances with no approved therapeutic indications and newer drugs that are still being studied. Aside from these, patients who find out that active drugs are used as placebo may also lose trust in both treatment and doctor.

*Can you provide more balanced care?* “Do not withhold better treatment”, when considering individualized care. Doctors that provide the best possible treatments, carefully weigh the benefits and risks of a medication.
Prescribing practices vary by country, medical specialty, and individual practice. In most countries, especially when symptoms only affect the quality of life and do not present a life threatening condition, doctors tend to prefer well-established safe treatments with few side effects before trying newer and therefore presumed more effective treatments. Standard options for treatment usually include a First Line and several Second Line treatments, where First Line treatments are often those proven to be the one with the highest efficacy, while second line treatments are those used when certain factors mitigate the use of First Line treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Country A</th>
<th>Country B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug A</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; Line</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug B</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; Line</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; Line</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Illustrative example of treatment preference by country
While standard care guidelines currently do not include the use of honest placebo as First or Second Line Treatment today, it appears plausible that,

*Care guidelines could give 3rd Generation Placebos a role in situations where side effects are a concern while a lack of effectiveness would not pose a risk to the patient.*

Do you measure individual effects?
While FDA approved treatments are backed up with evidence from clinical trials that the treatment is efficacious in most patients, there are two issues that call for individual tracking of treatment outcomes in regular care:

- An individual patient may or may not respond to treatment. For certain medical conditions the expected rate of response to treatment, even in a controlled clinical trial, are as low as one out of three patients who take the drug.
• In reality, clinical practice often fails to recognize issues such as treatment non-compliance, missed follow-ups to optimize dosage, and other factors that were excluded from the clinical trial setting.

Regardless of placebo use, it makes sense to systematically track Patient Reported Outcomes (PRO) in regular care to:

• Create a longitudinal dataset of symptoms, for example pain, that are assessed by the patient
• Symptom trend assessment and crossing thresholds
• Enable treatment adjustments based on patient generated individual evidence

While the design and broad implementations of such a systematic solution will take time, we have the opportunity to learn from patient-driven solutions that are entering the market in the context of wearable sensors for consumers, symptom tracking apps, and integrated placebos. As a side note, in 2004 I co-founded a Patient Reported
Outcome tracking company that implemented PRO in regular care (Dynamic Clinical Systems, Inc.) at 30 Research Hospitals across the US. In 2009 I designed symptom tracking mobile apps that gained recognition by patients and experts (Track & Share Apps, LLC) and now in 2014, I am introducing an integrated outcomes tracking solution together with launching the first 3\textsuperscript{rd} Generation placebo (Zeebo Effect, LLC).

What's your logic for using placebos?
The logic for taking placebos either alone or in combination with other treatment methods is explained by Howick, et al., (2013) in a review comparing placebos with no treatment in three-armed trials (treatment, placebo, and no treatment). They found studies with continuous outcomes and those with binary outcomes. These studies suggested that about one-third of patients get better after taking placebos and authors were led to think that the placebo effects may have caused the cure. However, other authors think that
recovery may have been due to the natural history of the disease, since many conditions get better without treatment. Furthermore, a beneficial effect may sometimes be achieved in settings when the mere presence of health care providers or investigators results in patients' well-being irrespective of the intervention (Hawthorne effect). In statistics, the tendency to regress to the mean may help explain why some patients seem to get better even without treatments. It is therefore necessary to compare patient responses to No-Treatment with those receiving placebo treatments to quantify the placebo effect. In addition, conducting three-armed trials comparing treatment, placebo and no-treatment groups will further demonstrate the magnitude of differences in effects between the groups.

Outcomes in Treatment, Placebo and No treatment groups (based on Howick, 2013).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Active Drug</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>No treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment effect</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo effect</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other effect *</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Natural history, regression to mean, Hawthorn Effect

Different conditions, however, as well as different patients, respond to active treatments and placebo in highly variable ways. The clinical usefulness of placebos may be determined after it is compared with certain treatments. There will be conditions, for example, for which both treatments and placebos are powerful. In these cases, it will make sense to use a combination of both to manage the patient's condition. In some conditions, however, active treatment may be more powerful than placebo, or vice versa, and it would benefit the patient more to emphasize treatment rather than
placebo or vice versa. For example, a recent review of clinical trials involving more than 11,000 patients diagnosed with schizophrenia (Kihn, 2012) revealed that there is a high and increasing placebo response and a declining treatment effect over time that is seen in most patients. This could lead doctors to move to a placebo. A similar meta-analysis of antidepressant drug effects compared to placebos showed that compared with placebo, some new-generation antidepressants like fluoxetine, nefazodone, venlafaxine, and paroxetine did not produce clinically significant improvements in patients who suffer from moderate depression (Kirsch, 2008). Therefore, in some cases doctors may choose modestly effective placebos if their effect is similar to treatment effect, considering that very likely there will be fewer side effects. However, when both active treatment and placebo effects are weak, physicians may recommend to combine both treatments to achieve synergy or additive effects.
The importance of knowing the relative benefits of treatment and placebo effects (based on Howick, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Do what?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>strong</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>Use both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strong</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>Emphasize treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weak</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>Emphasize placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>Use both or seek other option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The rationale whether or not to use placebos depends in part on the relative benefit of their use compared with treatment.*
Chapter VII

Beyond Placebo

In as much as one cannot rely solely on vitamins to maintain health or drugs to get recovery from an illness, you should not rely only on placebos to restore your health. Ask yourself what other factors you can work with to create well-being and see how you can combine these to develop a healthy lifestyle. These factors may include exercising, staying hydrated, getting enough sleep, eating mindfully, living a fulfilling social and work life, and sustaining a healthy environment. Many health conditions do improve with relaxation and stress management. Meditation can be helpful and also provide other unexpected benefits. It is likely that placebos work better when other aspects of life are
being addressed, because the factors listed tend to affect and reinforce each other.

**More Ways to Well-being**

**Exercising**
As we saw in the section about endorphins, one way to release this body-produced painkiller is to be physically active. Exercise also increases mental performance (Smith, 2010), strengthens the immune system (Nieman, 2011), and protects your mental health (Bertheussen, 2011). Regular, moderate exercise reduces your risk for chronic medical conditions. In the US alone, up to 9 million people would not suffer from cardiovascular disease if they had been able to avoid an “inactive lifestyle” (Wang, 2004). In summary, exercise, in addition to improving physical performance, can provide these benefits:
- Relief pain
- Improve mood
- Increase mental performance
• Strengthen the immune system
• Help prevent chronic conditions

One of the main issues with exercise is finding the motivation to exercise. After about 10 minutes of physical exercise, we start to feel the effect of exercise-released endorphins and often reach a flow-state that makes it easier if not enjoyable to keep going. Those who manage to exercise regularly for several weeks are likely to be familiar with an increased sense of general well-being. At that point, the mind and body have made a clear connection between the habit of exercising and the reward of well-being. Since exercise increases endorphin levels, it is possible that the mere expectation of exercise triggers a conditioned response: endorphins are released in anticipation, which makes it easier to get started.

For those who find it absolutely impossible get motivated to exercise, placebos might help as a kick-starter. As we learned in the chapter “How placebos work”, the placebo experience itself can
lead to an increase in endorphin. You could try this placebo design:

“This pill immediately provides me with the pleasurable effect of exercise and enables me to exercise right now.”

Hydration

It is generally recommended to drink 8 glasses of water per day. While I did not find any specific research that backs-up the amount of 8 glasses, there is clear evidence of the negative effects of dehydration. As we age our feeling of thirst becomes less reliable as a signal to prevent dehydration.

The older you are, the more beneficial it is to keep track of the amount of water you consume each day.

Also useful:
Practice mindfulness to become more aware of the needs of our mind and body.

Now, staying hydrated will lower your risk of experiencing the following symptoms:
1. Impaired cognitive function (Ritz P, 2005)
2. Reduced physical performance (Shirreffs, 2005)
3. Headaches and symptoms of fatigue (Shirreffs, 2004)

On the other hand, if you do experience these symptoms, it is possible that you are simply suffering from mild dehydration. A glass of water could make an immediate difference here. How about you try this,

*If you take placebo, take it with a glass of water.*

Sleep
It appears that in our society being able to get by with as little sleep as possible is generally desirable. People who sleep less have more time to work and play, but are they more productive, healthier and happier overall? Here is the latest research on the effect of sleep on performance and health.

**Sleep and Body Weight**
Sleeping less than 6 hours goes along with an increased risk for obesity. In a recent study, participants with the lowest Body Mass Index slept 8 hours on average (Kohatsu, 2006)

**Sleep and Diabetes**
Sleeping fewer than five hours per night greatly increases risk for type 2 diabetes (Knutson, 2006). At the same time, increasing the sleep duration benefits blood sugar control and reduces the symptoms of diabetes (Gottlieb, 2005).

**Sleep and Cardiovascular Health**
A recent study found that sleeping less than 7 hours on average is related to a greatly increased risk of coronary artery calcification (King, 2008). This finding makes it more likely that a heart attack or other cardiovascular problem will occur later in life.

Sleep and Immune System
In a study by Cohen (2009) people who slept 8 hours or more were three times less likely to develop cold symptoms when compared to their peers who slept 7 hours or less.
All participants were exposed to the rhinovirus which causes cold-symptoms such as running nose, headache, or fever.

Sleep and Cognitive Performance
Sleep and Stress
Several studies showed that lack of sleep increases cortisol secretion. Cortisol is the classic stress hormone, which amongst many roles, suppresses the immune system and increases blood pressure. (Van Cauter, 1999)

Sleep and Athletic Performance
Stanford University recently reported on an ongoing study that shows how members of its swimming team, which ranks among the best in the world, were able to increase their athletic performance when sleeping more than 10 hours (Mah, 2008).

If needed, try a pure placebo to help with creating a sleep routine while avoiding side effects from active ingredients.

Skillful Eating
I am a great proponent of mindful eating. When we become more aware of our different types of hunger, we are more able to take appropriate action. For example, a craving for social contact might be misinterpreted as hunger for a snack. Unfortunately eating almost always provides a generic short-term relief for almost any unmet need.

In contrast, it makes the eating experience more pleasurable and satisfying when we specifically respond to food hunger. Mindful eating and increased awareness lead to a more intense eating experience that results in longer phases of gratification and calm.

Now in addition to mindful eating it also makes sense to learn about nutrients in food and about the way our food is produced. That's why I like to refer to it as Skillful Eating. As with learning any skill, take it one step at a time.
While building skills for eating right, try a placebo to relieve incessant craving and heighten awareness when eating.

“This pill provides me with relief from craving”

“This pill...
... helps me become aware of different types of hunger.”

... empowers me to make right choices.”

... helps me enjoy my eating experience to the fullest.”

... intensifies my satisfaction from eating.”

Meditation
A meta-review of 47 well-designed studies with 3,515 participants (Goyal, 2014) found moderate evidence that mindfulness meditation is effective for providing relief from:

Anxiety

Depression

Pain
I practice and benefit from meditation. I think that a diligent practice of this healing art produces benefits similar to those that placebo experience offers. Meditation often produces immediate results for new practitioners, yet substantial improvements usually require time, commitment, guidance, and diligence. The benefits of meditation described in the studies cited here were typically observed after 8 weeks.

A community that has been very helpful to me in this regard is the Center for Mindful Learning in Burlington, Vermont. This group uses modern meditation techniques that have been described by Shinzen Young and Zen practice brought to us by Soryu Forall. This is just one of many meditation groups in the country, but we do have a webcam that lets visitors tune into meditation sessions from anywhere in the world. Another resource I'd like to recommend here is the podcast Buddhist Geeks. Most members of this group would probably not
identify themselves as buddhist, but rather as geeks who explore techniques that have been developed in different schools of Buddhism. Check it out, it's free and it might just change your mind.

Meditation and Placebo

Deep meditation practice can be very beneficial for those who can make the time and commit to this path. It appears though that mind-hacks delivered via 3rd Generation Placebo provide the most immediate benefit with the potential of reaching many more people. Why is that? Learning to meditate takes practice and diligence. Our busy lifestyle leaves little time for practice. We are already conditioned to respond to pill-taking.

Taking placebos is a shortcut to immediately obtain some of the benefits of meditation.
For some people, the experience with taking placebos will prepare their mind and body to explore and benefit from meditation itself.

Here are some ways in which placebos can be used to support meditation:

“I am taking this pill for...
... mental clarity”
... concentration and focus”
... mental freedom”
... power”
... equanimity”
... exploring purpose”

Meaningful Life

Meaning is about connection. How is my life connected to other people, to the environment, to my past and future self? In other words, searching for meaning is a way to understand one's life.
Finding meaning can have profound impact on our life, our health, and how we live. For example, a study that looked at the mortality of people who had experienced the death of a close friend, showed that those who could find meaning in this situation were able to protect the strength of their immune system better (Bower 1998). To be specific, the participants enrolled in this study were HIV positive men and those who found meaning in the death of a friend had a slower decline in the immune system's protective CD4 T-cells.

The Handbook of Positive Psychology (Lopez 2002) describes several elements that are available for the pursuit of Meaningfulness in Life, among them, connections to people, places, and things, leaving a mark on society, satisfaction with life, enjoyment of work, happiness, positive affect, and hope. For me, I find three basic elements for a meaningful life most helpful:
Work Life
that allows us to act as authentic human beings and to sustain a meaningful life.

Social Life
that fulfills us and others.

Being connected to,
respecting, and sustaining our environment.

Victor Frankl, a philosopher and Holocaust survivor emphasized that if we do not have access to finding meaning in creating or experiencing things we still have the freedom to find meaning in adopting an attitude that allows us to “suffer with dignity”. I think that even in most severe circumstances there are still ways available to us to overcome suffering, for example, by exploring the sensory experience of our immediate environment and of what we perceive as our self. We can find meaning in becoming aware of the self and non-self from which everything arises.
Taking placebo reminds us of the healing power of Meaning.

Healthy Environment

Our environment is a key factor in our health. We have many options how to relate to our environment, but we always relate in at least one way: ignore it, accept it, respect it, protect it, or change it. The latter we either accomplish by moving, which is not a practical option for many people, or by bringing change to the environment itself.

There is no question that we are encountering deterioration in our environment that affects our health, quality of life, and our ability to have a fulfilling relationship to our environment. In industrialized nations, fine dust pollution in urban air has the largest impact on our health and life expectancy. The total environmental pollution from chemicals is estimated to account for a loss of
5% - 10% of life years, to be precise, Disability Adjusted Life Years (EboDE 2010). From another perspective, about 5 million deaths globally are due to exposure to chemical pollutants (Press-Ustinov 2011).

_Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALY)_

According to the definition of the World Health Organization (WHO), one DALY can be thought of as one year of "healthy" life. The sum of all DALYs lost across all people is the gap between our actual situation and an ideal situation where everyone lives to an advanced age, free of disease and disability. DALYs take into account years lost due to early death and years impaired by loss of health.

In the developing world, contaminated water causes more than 3.4 million deaths per year. Most of these deaths are children dying from dehydration due to diarrhea (World Health Organization 2004). Compared to other global crises, if we give this
problem more attention, we can easily save many lives. To put the number of 3.4 million deaths from diarrhea in context: about 1.6 million people die each year from AIDS, 1.1 million from Tuberculosis, 0.7 million from Malaria, and 0.2 million die in wars.

On a global scale, chemical pollutants in the air and microbial pollutants in drinking water are the main causes of deaths that are due to an impaired environment. Our personal health is connected to the health of the earth. While a placebo experience can help us develop a more connected and more compassionate relationships to each other and to our environment, what is ultimately needed is action. On a global scale, very few of us are privileged to be able to move to more agreeable locations as we wish. We need to restore and sustain the health of our planet - for all of us.

*Placebo and the Environment*
You can design a placebo experience to become more aware of your environment, to feel a deeper connection to other people, and to take caring action:

“This pill allows me to develop compassion for other people and to engage in caring action for our shared environment.”

Alternative: just take action now
Chapter VIII

A Five-step Plan for Everything

I end this book with five steps to send you on your way.

*A five-step placebo plan for everything*

*Design the Placebo*

*Take the Placebo*

*Become the Placebo*

*Have Effect*

*Let go of the Placebo*
Full Disclaimer

As you read *The Placebo Cure*, we would like you to be open to the concept that your mind and body has the potential for remarkable self-healing power, and that the pill-taking ritual we discuss in this guide is a way to get access to that power. We do not know if any particular person will benefit from a placebo experience, but, as you are about to read, there are very safe ways to find out.

A placebo pill that contains no active ingredient is generally considered safe, yet we want to make sure you understand what *The Placebo Cure* is and what it is not. The information presented in this guide is not intended to aid in the diagnosis and treatment of disease or illness, and it is in no way intended as medical advice or as a substitute for medical counseling.
The author of *The Placebo Cure* is a founder of Zeebo Effect, LLC (“Zeebo”). Zeebo is a Vermont limited liability company and the publisher of *The Placebo Cure*. Zeebo also developed and distributes Zeebo pills and the Zeebo mobile app. Zeebo and its employees or agents (including the author of *The Placebo Cure*) are not providers of medical or health services and cannot give you medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment, and nothing in *The Placebo Cure* should be construed as such.

The use of the information in *The Placebo Cure*, any dietary supplements, and other products and services provided through Zeebo should be considered complementary approaches and are not a substitute for conventional medical or psychological diagnosis and treatment. The information provided in this guide is not intended to diagnose, treat, cure, or prevent any illness or disease, and the products and services discussed herein have not been
evaluated, registered, or approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Federal and state laws and regulations preclude us from making specific medical claims regarding Zeebo. Statements made about the effects of Zeebo pills, the Zeebo mobile app, and other Zeebo products and services have not been evaluated by the FDA. Any symptoms or diseases mentioned with regard to placebos in general or to any Zeebo products and services are presented only as illustrative examples of conditions for which placebos have been used. These are not meant to be health claims or guarantees of a cure or treatment. Any studies referenced herein are presented as generally informative background about placebos. Zeebo is not responsible for the statements, evidence, studies, conclusions, or opinions of third parties presented in this guide.
Every effort has been made to ensure that the information in The Placebo Cure is correct, but Zeebo cannot be responsible for errors, typographical or otherwise. Zeebo makes no representation or warranty whatsoever regarding the completeness, accuracy, currency, or adequacy of any information, facts, views, opinions, statements, or recommendations contained in this guide.

Consult with your physician and other licensed healthcare practitioners with respect to any medical or psychological conditions or concerns you may have. We also recommend that you inform your physician and other licensed healthcare practitioners that you are using Zeebo products and services.

EACH READER IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING WHETHER OR NOT THE PLACEBO CURE AND OTHER ZEEBO PRODUCTS AND SERVICES ARE SPECIFICALLY APPROPRIATE FOR THEM.
USE OF THIS CONSUMER GUIDE AND ANY OTHER ZEEBO PRODUCTS AND SERVICES IS AT THE SOLE RISK AND RESPONSIBILITY OF THE READER.

ZEEBO MAKES NO GUARANTEE WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, REGARDING EFFECTS OR OUTCOMES OF THE USE OF *THE PLACEBO CURE* AND/OR OTHER ZEEBO PRODUCTS AND SERVICES. IN THE EVENT YOU USE ANY OF ZEEBO’S INFORMATION, PRODUCTS, OR SERVICES, ZEEBO WILL NOT ACCEPT LIABILITY FOR ANY INJURIES OR DAMAGES CAUSED TO THE READER THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE READER’S ACTING UPON OR USING THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN *THE PLACEBO CURE* AND/OR USE OF OTHER ZEEBO PRODUCTS OR SERVICES.
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